

Operations on derived moduli spaces of branes

Bertrand Toën*

13M, Université de Montpellier 2
 Case Courrier 051
 Place Eugène Bataillon
 34095 Montpellier Cedex, France
 e-mail: btoen@math.univ-montp2.fr

June 2013

Abstract

The main theme of this work is the study of the operations that naturally exist on moduli spaces of maps $Map(S, X)$, also called the space of branes of X with respect S . These operations will be constructed as operations on the (quasi-coherent) derived category $\mathcal{D}(Map(S, X))$, in the particular case where S has some close relations with an operad \mathcal{O} . More precisely, for an ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} and an algebraic variety X (or more generally a derived algebraic stack), satisfying some natural conditions, we prove that \mathcal{O} acts on the object $\mathcal{O}(2)$ by mean cospans. This universal action is used to prove that \mathcal{O} acts on the derived category of the space of maps $Map(\mathcal{O}(2), X)$, which will call the brane operations. We apply the existence of these operations, as well as their naturality in \mathcal{O} , in order to propose a sketch for a proof of the *higher formality conjecture*, a far reaching extension of Konsevich's formality's theorem. By doing so we present a positive answer to a conjecture of Kapustin (see [Ka, p. 14]), relating polyvector fields on a variety X and deformations of the monoidal derived category $\mathcal{D}(X)$.

Contents

1	Operadic preliminaries	9
1.1	Reminders on (reduced) ∞ -operads	9
1.2	Operadic categories	13
2	Operads of (co)spans	17
3	Adjoint and co-adjoint representations of ∞-operads	25
4	Derived category of the space of branes	37

*This work is partially supported by the ANR grant ANR-09-BLAN-0151 (HODAG).

Introduction

This work is an investigation of the operations that exist naturally on the space of *branes* from the point of view of derived categories of sheaves. The *branes* of the title are by definition morphisms $S \rightarrow X$, where S is a sphere (or some of its generalisations) and X is an algebraic variety (or some of its generalisations). The space of all branes, for fixed domain S , form a moduli space $Map(S, X)$, and the purpose of this work is to formalise the natural operations that can be defined on its quasi-coherent derived category $\mathcal{D}(Map(S, X))$. For obvious reasons automorphisms and more generally endomorphisms of S do act on the space $Map(S, X)$ and thus on the category $\mathcal{D}(Map(S, X))$ by functoriality. We will be mainly interested in operations on $\mathcal{D}(Map(S, X))$ which are not induced by endomorphisms of S but rather come from *cospans* on S . The cospans on S are diagrams of the form $S \longrightarrow S' \longleftarrow S$ which produce correspondences at the level of moduli spaces

$$Map(S, X) \xleftarrow{f} Map(S', X) \xrightarrow{p} Map(S, X),$$

and thus provide endofunctors at the level of derived categories

$$p_* f^* : \mathcal{D}(Map(S, X)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(Map(S, X)).$$

The content of the present work is two folds and proposes solutions to the following two general problems.

1. Formalize the general fact that cospans on S define natural operations on $\mathcal{D}(Map(S, X))$.
2. Provide a systematic way to construct interesting instances of operations on $\mathcal{D}(Map(S, X))$ out of simple input data.

The solution to the above two problems presented in this work is heavily based on the one side on the notion of ∞ -operads of [Ci-Mo1, Ci-Mo2, Mo-We, Lu2] and on the other side on techniques of ∞ -topos and of derived algebraic geometry in the sense of [To1, To-Ve1, To-Ve2, Lu1]. In a nutshell our main result states that for an algebraic variety X , or more generally a derived Artin stack, and for an ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} satisfying some simple conditions, the derived category $\mathcal{D}(Map(\mathcal{O}(2), X))$ carries a canonical action of \mathcal{O} . Applied to the special cases where \mathcal{O} is the E_k -operad and its degenerate version the P_k -operad, we deduce from this theorem a higher formality theorem, relating iterated Hochschild cohomology of X to shifted polyvector fields on X , a result that has been conjectured in the introduction of [Pa-To-Va-Ve1]. The special case of $k = 2$, together with the relations between higher Hochschild cohomology and the deformation theory of iterated monoidal categories (see [Fr]), provides a positive answer to a conjecture of A. Kapustin appearing in his 2010 ICM lecture (see [Ka, p. 14]).

Cospans and ∞ -operads

The formalism of cospans on S can be developed inside any general ∞ -topos \mathcal{T} . For an object $S \in \mathcal{T}$, cospans are diagrams in \mathcal{T} of the form $S \longrightarrow S' \longleftarrow S$. These diagrams are organised into several structures. First of all they form an ∞ -category, where morphisms are commutative diagrams in \mathcal{T}

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S & \longrightarrow & S' & \longleftarrow & S \\ \text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ S & \longrightarrow & S'' & \longleftarrow & S. \end{array}$$

They can also be composed by push-outs over S , and thus form a monoidal ∞ -category. The cospans also possess n -ary versions by considering more general diagrams of the form

$$\coprod_n S \longrightarrow S' \longleftarrow S,$$

for which now the composition is operadic and defines a *categorical ∞ -operad* (see definitions 1.1 and 1.2). Finally, cospans can form family over a base object $x \in \mathcal{T}$, as diagrams $S \times x \longrightarrow S' \longleftarrow S \times x$, in the comma ∞ -topos \mathcal{T}/x .

The structure that subsumes these operations all together is that of a *categorical ∞ -operad in \mathcal{T}* (see definition 1.2), which technically speaking consists of an ∞ -functor

$$\Omega^{op} \times \mathcal{T}^{op} \longrightarrow \infty - \mathbf{Cat},$$

where Ω is a certain category of rooted trees, and satisfying some exactness conditions in the first variable and a descent condition in the second variable. An important first construction of this work is that of a cospans operad $\underline{End}^\perp(S)$, which is a categorical ∞ -operad in \mathcal{T} encoding all the possible operations on cospans over a fixed object $S \in \mathcal{T}$ (see definition 2.3).

Our first result is that many ∞ -operads \mathcal{O} in \mathcal{T} act on the object $\mathcal{O}(2)$ by means of cospans, a fact which is expressed by the following theorem.

Theorem 0.1 (See 3.1) *Let \mathcal{O} be an ∞ -operad in \mathcal{T} satisfying the following two conditions.*

1. $\mathcal{O}(0) \simeq \mathcal{O}(1) \simeq *$
2. *For all pair of integers $n, m \geq 2$, the following square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}(n+1) \times \mathcal{O}(m) & \coprod_{\mathcal{O}(2) \times \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m)} & \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m+1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(n+m) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(n+m-1) \end{array}$$

is cartesian in the ∞ -topos \mathcal{T} .

Then there exists a natural morphism of categorical ∞ -operads in \mathcal{T}

$$ad : \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \underline{End}^\perp(\mathcal{O}(2)),$$

or in other words \mathcal{O} acts naturally on $\mathcal{O}(2)$ by cospans. For an integer n , the morphism $\mathcal{O}(n) \rightarrow \underline{End}^\perp(\mathcal{O}(2))(n)$ corresponds to the following family of n -ary cospan on $\mathcal{O}(2)$ over $\mathcal{O}(n)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_n \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(2) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(n+1) \longleftarrow \mathcal{O}(2) \times \mathcal{O}(n) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \mathcal{O}(n). \end{array}$$

The construction of the morphism ad is by no means easy and occupies the first half of the present work. It should be noted that the conditions of the theorem are satisfied by many interesting ∞ -operads, as for instance the E_n -operads as well as their variations (see §6). Another source of examples is given by modular operads of pointed stable curves of genus zero. For these two examples the morphism ad of the theorem above is easy to identified: for the E_n -operad ad is an incarnation of the fact that E_n acts on the $(n-1)$ -dimensional sphere S^{n-1} by means of cobordisms. The case of the modular operad is even more clear: a pointed stable curve is a cospans on the point in the category of schemes. A striking consequence of the theorem 0.1 is that these two facts are special cases of a very general phenomenon, and in particular are purely operadic data (e.g. the E_n -operad already contains the information about its actions on S^{n-1}).

Brane operations

The theorem 0.1 will be used in order to construct operations on the derived category of branes. We still work in a general ∞ -topos \mathcal{T} , and we assume that we are given a notion of derived categories as an ∞ -functor

$$\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{T}^{op} \longrightarrow dg - \mathbf{Cat}_{pr}^\otimes,$$

from \mathcal{T}^{op} into the ∞ -category of presentable symmetric monoidal dg-categories (see §5). With these data, and for all nice enough dg-category A , we define a categorical ∞ -operad $\underline{End}^\otimes(A)$ in \mathcal{T} whose n -ary operations are the dg-functors

$$A^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow A,$$

as well as their deformations over objects of \mathcal{T} (see definition 4.2 for the precise definitions). The theorem 0.1, together with the fact that all cospans in dg-categories represents morphisms (because every morphism has an adjoint by definition), leads to the following corollary, which is our second main result.

Corollary 0.2 (See 4.3) *Let \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{D} be as above, and let $X \in \mathcal{T}$ and \mathcal{O} be a categorical ∞ -operad satisfying the conditions of the theorem 0.1. Under some technical finiteness conditions, there exists a natural morphism of categorical ∞ -operad in \mathcal{T}*

$$B : \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \underline{End}^\otimes(\mathcal{D}(B_{\mathcal{O}}(X))),$$

where $B_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ is the space of branes of \mathcal{O} with respect to X defined as the hom object

$$B_{\mathcal{O}}(X) := \text{Map}(\mathcal{O}(2), X) \in \mathcal{T}.$$

The morphism B is called the *brane operations morphism* and encodes the action of \mathcal{O} on $\mathcal{D}(B_{\mathcal{O}}(X))$ induced from its actions on $\mathcal{O}(2)$ by our theorem 0.1. In more concrete terms, the morphism B provides for all n a dg-functor

$$\psi^n : \mathcal{D}(B_{\mathcal{O}}(X))^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(B_{\mathcal{O}}(X)) \otimes \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}(n)),$$

which should be thought as a *family of multilinear dg-endofunctors of $\mathcal{D}(B_{\mathcal{O}}(X))$ parametrized by $\mathcal{O}(n)$* . The various dg-functors ψ^n are related by higher coherences expressing compatibilities between compositions in \mathcal{O} and compositions of dg-functors. Tracking back the construction of the morphism ad we can explicitly described the dg-functor ψ^n as follows. For a fixed n , there is a family of cospans over $\mathcal{O}(n)$ given by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_n \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(2) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(n+1) \longleftarrow \mathcal{O}(2) \times \mathcal{O}(n) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \swarrow \\ & & \mathcal{O}(n), \end{array}$$

defined by the various compositions in \mathcal{O} . Applying the internal hom construction in $\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{O}(n)$ provides a family of spans over $\mathcal{O}(n)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_{\mathcal{O}}(X)^n \times \mathcal{O}(n) & \xleftarrow{q} \text{Map}_{/\mathcal{O}(n)}(\mathcal{O}(n+1), X) \xrightarrow{p} & B_{\mathcal{O}}(X) \times \mathcal{O}(n) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \swarrow \\ & & \mathcal{O}(n). \end{array}$$

The dg-functor ψ^n is obtained as push-forward pull-back

$$\psi^n := p_* q^* : \mathcal{D}(B_{\mathcal{O}}(X))^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(B_{\mathcal{O}}(X)) \otimes \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}(n)).$$

The technical conditions mentioned in the corollary insure that the dg-functor p_* and q^* are nice enough in order to behave well (e.g. the base-change formula is required at some point).

Some consequences

In the last part of this work we present one possible application of our results, theorem 0.1 and its corollary 0.2.

For this we specify the situation to the case where \mathcal{T} is the ∞ -topos of derived stacks over the site of derived affine schemes (over a field of characteristic zero) with the étale topology (see [To1, To-Ve2]). The object X will be taken to be a derived Artin 1-stack of the form $[Y/G]$, where Y is a quasi-projective derived scheme and G is an algebraic group. The ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} will be taken either to be the E_k -operad or its degenerate vesion the \mathbb{P}_k -operad classifying $((1-k)$ -shifted) Poisson dg-algebras. By formality, the ∞ -operads E_k and \mathbb{P}_k are essentially equivalent when $k > 1$, and thus so are their brane operations. In our last section we explain how this equivalence at the level of brane operations can be used in order to deduce the following formality theorem (see §6).

Corollary 0.3 (See 5.4) *Let X be a derived Artin stack as above and $k > 1$. Then, a choice of a formality equivalence $E_k \simeq \mathbb{P}_k$, determines a quasi-isomorphism of dg-Lie algebras*

$$HH^{E_k}(X)[k] \simeq \mathbb{R}\Gamma(X, \text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathbb{T}_X[-k]))[k].$$

In this corollary $HH^{E_k}(X)$ denotes the E_k -Hochschild cohomology of X , defined as the self-ext of the iterated diagonals of X (see also [Fr]). By the so-called Deligne's conjecture, which is now a theorem due to [Lu2, Fr], $HH^{E_k}(X)$ is an E_{k+1} -algebra and thus $HH^{E_k}(X)[k]$ possesses a natural dg-Lie algebra structure. The right hand side is a derived version of (shifted) polyvector fields on X , and is endowed with a dg-Lie algebra structure induced from the bracket of vector fields. This corollary is an extension to higher Hochschild cohomology of the famous Kontsevich's formality theorem, which would be the case $k = 1$ and X a smooth variety. Using the well known relations between $HH^{E_k}(X)[k]$ and the deformation theory of E_k -algebras (see for example [Fr]), this corollary offers a positive answer to a conjecture of Kapusting in [Ka, p. 14] (take $k = 2$ and X a smooth variety). In general this corollary is a solution to the higher formality conjecture mentioned in the introduction of [Pa-To-Va-Ve1], at least for $n \neq 0$. It has important consequences, as for example the existence of deformation quantization of shifted Poisson structures, which according to the results of [Pa-To-Va-Ve1] will lead to many instances of deformations of E_k -monoidal dg-categories of sheaves on derived moduli spaces.

Relations to other works

A major motivation for this work has been the notion of *categorified Gromov-Witten theory*, which is a rather old idea consisting of lifting the Gromov-Witten invariants from cohomology to derived categories (this idea is for instance mentioned in [To1], and has also been independantly advertised recently by Y. Manin [Ma]). One of the main problem in trying to define categorified GW invariants comes from the existence of higher homotopies and the precise way in which they should be organised. The language of the present work clarifies this point: categorified GW invariants for an algebraic variety X essentially consists of a morphism of ∞ -operads in derived stacks

$$\{\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1}\}_{g,n} \longrightarrow \underline{\text{End}}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{D}(X)),$$

where $\{\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1}\}_{g,n}$ is the ∞ -operad (in Deligne-Mumford stacks) of pointed stable curves. However, things are not quite as simple and several modifications of the notions and constructions of the present work should be performed in order to formally construct a morphism of ∞ -operads as above. First of all the modular operad $\{\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1}\}$ is certainly of configuration type in the sense close to our definition 3.4 but does not satisfy our crucial hypothesis $\mathcal{O}(0) = \mathcal{O}(1) = *$, except in genus zero. Moreover, some of the finiteness conditions of our corollary 4.3 do not hold, due to the non quasi-compactness of the stacks of all stable maps to a fixed target. Finally, we did not include any stability conditions in our construction, and therefore our results deal with the whole mapping spaces and do not take into account the nicer subspaces of stable objects as this is necessary for GW theory. Nevertheless, we do believe that some non trivial modifications of our constructions and results will provide a way to construct the categorified Gromov-Witten invariants. A work in progress by E. Mann and M. Robalo aims to extend the techniques of this paper from ∞ -operads to the more general setting of cyclic ∞ -operads and modular ∞ -operads, as well as to include stability conditions in the constructions, and will probably lead to a full construction

of the categorified Gromov-Witten invariants in a near future

The notion of brane operations on $\mathcal{D}(\text{Map}(\mathcal{O}(2), X))$, as well its related notion of \mathcal{O} -cohomology $HH^{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ described in our §6, seem very closely related to the notions of *centers* in the sense of [Be-Fr-Na] and [Lu2]. The precise relations for a general ∞ -operad has not been investigated, one of the reason being that these two notions does make sense in slightly different settings. However, when $\mathcal{O} = E_k$, the E_k -monoïdal structure on $\mathcal{D}(\text{Map}(S^{k-1}, X))$ deduced from our results is most certainly the same as the one on the iterated center of $\mathcal{D}(X)$ studied in [Be-Fr-Na], even though we have not tried to write down a precise comparison.

There are many situations in which the brane operations obtained from our corollary 0.2 can be identified with some previously known notions or constructions. An example appears in the derived geometric Satake correspondence, for which the derived dg-category of certain constructible sheaves on the affine Grassmanian of a reductive group G is identified with the dg-category $\mathcal{D}(\text{Map}(S^2, B({}^L G)))$ where ${}^L G$ is the Langlands dual group. The natural monoïdal structure induced from convolution on the affine Grassmanian provides an E_3 -monoïdal structure on $\mathcal{D}(\text{Map}(S^2, B({}^L G)))$, which is precisely the one given by the corollary 0.2 ($\mathcal{O} = E_3, X = B({}^L G)$) (this example has been explained to me by J. Lurie almost ten years ago).

Finally, the results of this work are very much related to the work in progress with T. Pantev, M. Vaquié and G. Vezzosi, about shifted symplectic and Poisson structures as well as deformation quantization in the derived setting. The application of the techniques of the present work to formality and deformation quantization will be further investigated in great details in a near future (see [Pa-To-Va-Ve2]).

Organisation of the present work

We have organised this work into five sections. The first section does not pretend to bring something new, and contains some reminders and preliminaries concerning ∞ -operads as well as categorical operads. We remind the formalism of ∞ -operads in the sense of [Ci-Mo1, Ci-Mo2, Mo-We], defined as certain functors on trees, and put this in the ∞ -categorical framework. In a section paragraph we define the notion of a categorical operad, which is a slight generalisation of that of a (unital and associative) monoïdal category (we could have called these *reduced multi-2-categories*). We explain how categorical operads are related to ∞ -operads by means of a rectification construction, analog to the construction which produce a strict monoïdal category out of a monoïdal category.

In the second section we present a detailed construction of the ∞ -operads of cospans $\underline{\text{End}}^{\sqcup}(X)$, of spans $\underline{\text{End}}^{\times}(Z)$, as well as the duality morphism

$$\underline{\text{End}}^{\sqcup}(X) \longrightarrow \underline{\text{End}}^{\times}(Z^X).$$

Here the objects X and Z belongs to a given ∞ -topos \mathcal{T} , and these ∞ -operads are ∞ -operads inside the ∞ -category of stacks of ∞ -categories over \mathcal{T} (i.e. they are family of ∞ -operads in ∞ -categories parametrized by objects in \mathcal{T}). These objects are fundamental for our main result 0.1, and surprisingly already their definitions require some non-trivial work. Our constructions are heavily based for instance on the notions of fibered ∞ -categories (see e.g. [To-Ve3]).

The third section concerns the proof of our first theorem 0.1. We start by a lax version (see theorem 3.1), which states that in absence of condition (2) the morphism *ad* exists as a lax morphisms of categorical

∞ -operads. The condition (2) is then shown to be equivalent to the fact that the lax morphism ad is an actual morphism. The proof is presented in several intermediate steps and is again heavily based on the notions and techniques of fibered ∞ -categories. The theorem 3.1 is also used to produce a dual version of the morphism ad .

In the section 4 we explain how the morphism ad of theorem 0.1 produces operations on the derived category $\mathcal{D}(\text{Map}(\mathcal{O}(2), X))$, and thus a proof of corollary 0.2. For this we assume that a good notion of derived categories is given for objects X in our ∞ -topos \mathcal{T} . Such a good notion includes some version of the base change property, which is the crucial property to pass from the ∞ -operads of spans over an object X to some ∞ -operads of endomorphisms of the derived category $\mathcal{D}(X)$. This part uses the full strength of the Morita theory for dg-categories as exposed in [To5, To2, To-Ve3].

The final section is devoted to a proof of the higher formality conjecture 0.3. We use the operations of \mathcal{O} on $\mathcal{D}(\text{Map}(\mathcal{O}(2), X))$ obtained in the last section in order to introduce the notion of \mathcal{O} -cohomology of X , and prove that two equivalent operads have equivalent \mathcal{O} -cohomologies. For the particular case of the E_k -operad (little k -disks) and the \mathbb{P}_k -operad ($1 - k$ -shifted Poisson operad) provides a proof of the formality conjecture relating higher Hochschild cohomology and polyvector fields on a nice enough derived algebraic stack X . The form of the formality theorem is an equivalence of dg-Lie algebras, which could be promoted to a more structured equivalence but this will not be considered in the present work.

Acknowledgements: I am very grateful to A. Blanc, B. Hennion, E. Mann, T. Mignon and M. Robalo for their participations to the weekly workshop *GW theory and derived algebraic geometry*, that has been happening during the first semester 2012-2013 in Montpellier. One of the goal of this workshop was to clarify how to construct categorified Gromov-Witten invariants as exposed in [To1]. The key idea that an operad \mathcal{O} should act naturally on $\mathcal{O}(2)$ by cospans, and that this universal action is responsible for existence of many interesting operations on derived categories, has emerged during one of the session. It has been a great surprised to me that the exact same argument could also be very helpful in deformation quantization and could lead to a proof of the higher formality conjecture 5.4. I also thank G. Vezzosi for his comments on the first versions of this manuscript.

I would like to thank D. Calaque, for many conversations concerning formality, I have learned a lot on the subject from him. It seems also that he has another approach to the higher formality, based on a generalisation of Tamarkin's proof of Kontsevich's formality. This approach however is presently local and not well suited to treat the general case of Artin stacks, even though it is certainly more direct and more explicit. D. Tamarkin and T. Willwacher has also informed me that they have made some progress on closely related questions.

I am thankful to B. Tsygan for conversations on formality and deformation quantization during his visit in Montpellier in 2011, and for having shared with me some unpublished notes on equivariant formality. Finally, I would like to thank K. Costello, J. Lurie and T. Preygel for helpful comments about the Poisson and E_k -operads.

Notations and conventions: We use the expression ∞ -category to mean *Segal category* (see [Si], see also [To-Ve3]), and *strict ∞ -category* to mean *simplicial category*. For two ∞ -categories A and B we let $\text{Fun}^\infty(A, B)$ be the ∞ -category of ∞ -functors between A and B , by which we mean $\underline{\text{Hom}}(A, B')$ for B' a fibrant replacement of B as an object of the category of Segal precategories, see [Si]).

For a model category M we let LM be its localisation along equivalences, considered as an ∞ -category (see [To-Ve3]). When M is simplicial we often identify, up to a natural equivalence, LM with the strict ∞ -category of fibrant and cofibrant objects in M . When $M = SSet$ is the standard model category of simplicial sets we let $\mathcal{S} := LSSet$ be the ∞ -category of homotopy types. When $M = SeCat$ is the model category of Segal precategories we let $\infty - \mathbf{Cat} := LSeCat$ be the ∞ -category of ∞ -categories.

We use ∞ -topos theory from [To-Ve3] (see also [Lu1], [To1]). All of our ∞ -topos will be t-complete by definition (or *hyper-complete* in the terminology of [Lu1]). For an ∞ -topos \mathcal{T} a *stack over \mathcal{T}* means a limit preserving ∞ -functor $\mathcal{T}^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, where \mathcal{S} is the ∞ -category of homotopy types. In the same way, a *categorical stack over \mathcal{T}* is a limit preserving ∞ -functor $\mathcal{T}^{op} \rightarrow \infty - \mathbf{Cat}$.

We denote by Ω the category of rooted trees of [Mo-We]. For a tree $T \in \Omega$ we let $V(T)$ its set of vertices, and for a vertex $v \in V(T)$ we let $T(v)$ be the star at v , that is the maximal subtree of T having v as a unique vertex. The number of leaves of $T(v)$ is denoted by n_v , and is the number of ingoing edges at v (which can be zero).

1 Operadic preliminaries

In this first paragraph we remind notions from operads and ∞ -operads. We start by the notion of ∞ -operads according to [Ci-Mo1, Ci-Mo2], defined as certain functors on a category of rooted trees. We then introduce an auxiliary notion of categorical operads, and relate it to pseudo-functors and functors over the category of trees.

1.1 Reminders on (reduced) ∞ -operads

We will use the expression *∞ -operad* to mean a *reduced Segal operad* in the sense of [Ci-Mo1, Ci-Mo2]. The expression *operad* will rather be restricted to the strict notion of an (uncolored) operad in a symmetric monoidal category.

We remind from [Mo-We] the category of rooted trees Ω . Its objects are oriented non-planar trees, with a unique root and labelled leaves. As in [Mo-We], we denote by η the object of Ω consisting of the unique rooted tree with no vertices. Under the full embedding $\Delta \hookrightarrow \Omega$, the object η corresponds to the image of $[0] \in \Delta$ (see [Mo-We]). This embedding sends a linearly ordered set $[n] = \{0, \dots, n\}$ to the linear tree with n vertex and $n + 1$ edges. For a finite set I , we denote by $T(I)$ the object of Ω which is the tree with a unique vertex and $card(I)$ leaves labelled by elements of I . Using the terminology of [Mo-We], if $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$, then $T(I)$ is a collar with n leaves and will also be denoted by $T(n)$. Note that $T(\emptyset)$ is the tree with a unique vertex and no leaves and is different from η .

For a tree T we will generally denote by $V(T)$ its set of vertices. For an vertex $v \in V(T)$ we denote by $T(v)$ the maximal subtree of T containing the unique vertex v . The tree $T(v)$ is star with n_v leaves, where n_v is the number of ingoing edges at v .

The morphisms in Ω are known to be generated by four kinds of morphisms (see [Mo-We, Lem. 3.1]).

1. (automorphisms) Any tree $T \in \Omega$ has a finite automorphism group $aut(T)$.
2. (contraction of an inner edge or inner face) Let $T \in \Omega$ be a tree and e be an inner edge of T (i.e. an edge bound by two vertices at its end, or equivalently an edge which is neither a leaf nor the root).

There is a map $T/e \rightarrow T$, called the contraction along e , where T/e consists of the tree where the edge e has been contracted to a single vertex.

3. (Segal or outer face) Let T be a tree and v be a vertex for which ingoing edges are all leaves. Let $T - v$ be the tree for which the vertex v and all its ingoing leaves have been deleted, and $T(v)$ be the sub-tree of T containing v as unique vertex and all its ingoing and outgoing edges. Then there are morphisms

$$T - v \longrightarrow T \quad T(v) \longrightarrow T.$$

4. (identities) For a tree T and any edge e of T , let $T + e$ be the tree where the edge e has been replaced by the linear tree [1] (two edges and a unique vertex). Then there is a morphism $T + e \longrightarrow T$.

The morphisms of type (2) and (3) are called *faces*, and the morphisms of type (4) *degeneracies*. According to [Mo-We, Lem. 3.1] any morphism in Ω can be decomposed as an automorphism, a face map and a degeneracy map.

The category Ω can also be considered as a full sub-category of the category Op , of symmetric, reduced and unital operads in the category of sets. A full embedding $\Omega \hookrightarrow Op$ is constructed by sending a tree T to $\Omega[T]$, the free operad generated by T (see [Mo-We]). For instance, the operad $\Omega[T(I)]$ is the free operad generated by single n -ary operation, where n is the cardinality of the set I .

Let \mathcal{T} be an ∞ -category with finite limits. We consider the ∞ -category of ∞ -functors $Fun^\infty(\Omega^{op}, \mathcal{T})$, and we denote by $PrOp(\mathcal{T})$ the full sub- ∞ -category of $Fun^\infty(\Omega^{op}, \mathcal{T})$ consisting of all ∞ -functors $\mathcal{O} : \Omega^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ sending η to a final object in \mathcal{T} . The ∞ -category $PrOp(\mathcal{T})$ is by definition the *∞ -category of (reduced) pre- ∞ -operads in \mathcal{T}* .

An *∞ -operad in \mathcal{T}* is by definition an object $\mathcal{O} \in PrOp(\mathcal{T})$ such that for all tree $T \in \Omega$ with at least one inner edge, the natural morphism

$$\mathcal{O}(T) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(Sc(T))$$

is an equivalence in \mathcal{T} . Here $Sc(T) \hookrightarrow T$ is the *Segal core* of the tree T , that is the colimit in Ω of the category of subobjects of T either of the form $T(I)$ for some finite set I , or of the form η . Because our operads are reduced by definition, $\mathcal{O}(\eta) = *$, another way to state this condition is by saying that for any tree $T \in \Omega$, the natural morphism

$$\mathcal{O}(T) \longrightarrow \prod_v \mathcal{O}(T(v)),$$

is an equivalence, where v runs over all vertices of T and $T(v)$ is the sub-tree of T consisting of the star at v (v as a vertex all its ingoing and outgoing edges). The full sub- ∞ -category of $PrOp(\mathcal{T})$ consisting of ∞ -operads will be denoted by $\infty - Op(\mathcal{T})$.

Definition 1.1 *For an ∞ -category with finite limits \mathcal{T} , the ∞ -category of ∞ -operads in \mathcal{T} is the full sub- ∞ -category of $Fun^\infty(\Omega^{op}, \mathcal{T})$ consisting of ∞ -functors sending η to $*$ and Segal core to equivalences. It is denoted by $\infty - Op(\mathcal{T})$.*

When $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{S}$ or $\mathcal{T} = \infty - \mathbf{Cat}$ is the ∞ -category of spaces or of ∞ -categories we use the following notations

$$\infty - Op := \infty - Op(\mathcal{S}) \quad \infty - OpCat := \infty - Op(\infty - \mathbf{Cat}).$$

In the case the ∞ -category \mathcal{T} is an ∞ -topos, it is of the form LM , for a model topos M (e.g. M is the model category of simplicial presheaves on a simplicial site, see [To-Ve1]), we have a model category $SeOp(M)$ of Segal operads in M , whose underlying category is the category of functors $\Omega^{op} \rightarrow M$ sending η to the final object $*$ in M . The model structure on the category $SeOp(M)$ is such that fibrant objects are functors $\mathcal{O} : \Omega^{op} \rightarrow M$ with levelwise fibrant values and such that for all tree T the natural morphism (the Segal face map)

$$\mathcal{O}(T) \longrightarrow \prod_{v \in V(T)} \mathcal{O}(T(v))$$

is an equivalence in M . We refer to [Ci-Mo1, Ci-Mo2] for more details on the model structure on $SeOp(M)$ (the generalisation from $M = SSet$ to the case where M is the model category of simplicial presheaves on a simplicial site is straightforward).

We will also consider the model category of strict operads $Op(M)$ in the model category M , considered as a symmetric monoidal model category for the direct product structure. As shown in [Ci-Mo2], there is an inclusion functor $Op(M) \rightarrow SeOp(M)$, identifying $Op(M)$ with the full sub-category of $SeOp(M)$ of functors \mathcal{O} for which all the morphisms $\mathcal{O}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(Sc(T))$ are isomorphisms. The category $Op(M)$ also carries a model category structure, for which the equivalences and fibrations are defined in $SeOp(M)$, so that the inclusion functor $Op(M) \rightarrow SeOp(M)$ is a right Quillen functor. By [Ci-Mo2, Thm. 8.15] this inclusion is a Quillen equivalence.

The rectification theorem for diagrams inside ∞ -categories (see [Lu1, Prop. 4.2.4.4] and also [To-Ve3]) implies that there is a natural ∞ -functor

$$LSeOp(M) \longrightarrow \infty - Op(LM) \simeq \infty - Op(\mathcal{T}),$$

which is an equivalence of ∞ -categories. With the previous Quillen equivalence, we do obtain a string of equivalences of ∞ -categories

$$LOp(M) \simeq LSeOp(M) \simeq \infty - Op(\mathcal{T}).$$

In particular all ∞ -operads in \mathcal{T} can be modeled by strict operads in the model category M , a fact which will be extremely useful for us.

Finally, note that the ∞ -category $\infty - Op(\mathcal{T})$ is also equivalent to the ∞ -category of stacks on \mathcal{T} with values in ∞ -operads, that is ∞ -functors

$$\mathcal{O} : \mathcal{T}^{op} \longrightarrow L(\infty - Op) \simeq \infty - Op(\mathcal{S}),$$

that commutes with limits. This point of view will allow us to consider variations of the notion of ∞ -operads in \mathcal{T} , the main one being stacks on \mathcal{T} with values in ∞ -operads in ∞ -categories, or in other words limits preserving ∞ -functors

$$\mathcal{O} : \mathcal{T}^{op} \longrightarrow \infty - Op(\infty - \mathbf{Cat}).$$

Definition 1.2 *Let \mathcal{T} be an ∞ -topos. The ∞ -category of categorical ∞ -operads in \mathcal{T} is the ∞ -category of limit preserving ∞ -functors*

$$\mathcal{T}^{op} \longrightarrow \infty - OpCat.$$

It will be denoted by $\infty - OpCat(\mathcal{T})$.

As ∞ -operads in $\infty - \mathbf{Cat}$ also is an ∞ -category of ∞ -functors from Ω to $\infty - \mathbf{Cat}$, the stacks on \mathcal{T} with values in ∞ -operads in ∞ -categories can also be described as ∞ -functors

$$\mathcal{O} : \mathcal{T}^{op} \times \Omega^{op} \longrightarrow \infty - \mathbf{Cat},$$

which is limits preserving in the first variable, and satisfies the Segal core condition in the second variable.

Using the notion of fibered and cofibered ∞ -categories (see [To-Ve3]), an object $\mathcal{O} \in \infty - Op(\infty - \mathbf{Cat})$, can also be described as a fibered ∞ -category $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \longrightarrow \Omega$ satisfying the Segal core condition. The morphisms between \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O}' in $\infty - Op(\infty - \mathbf{Cat})$ can then be described by the simplicial set

$$Map_{\infty - \mathbf{Cat}/\Omega}^{cart}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}'),$$

which is the full sub-simplicial set of the mapping space of the ∞ -category $\infty - \mathbf{Cat}/\Omega$, consisting of ∞ -functors preserving the cartesian morphisms.

For two ∞ -operads \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O}' in $\infty - \mathbf{Cat}$, we define the space of *very lax morphisms* to be

$$Map_{\infty - OpCat}^{v lax}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}') := Map_{\infty - \mathbf{Cat}/\Omega}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}'),$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}'$ are the two corresponding fibered ∞ -categories over Ω . The construction $\mathcal{O} \mapsto \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ induces a morphism

$$Map_{\infty - OpCat}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}') \longrightarrow Map_{\infty - OpCat}^{v lax}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}'),$$

and identifies $Map_{\infty - OpCat}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}')$ with the union of connected components of $Map_{\infty - OpCat}^{v lax}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}')$ consisting of ∞ -functors preserving the cartesian morphisms.

We have an intermediate simplicial set

$$Map_{\infty - OpCat}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}') \longrightarrow Map_{\infty - OpCat}^{lax}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}') \subset Map_{\infty - OpCat}^{v lax}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}'),$$

where $Map_{\infty - OpCat}^{lax}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}')$ consists of ∞ -functors $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{O}}'$ over Ω , which preserve cartesian morphisms over the Segal (or outer) faces in Ω (compare with the notion of lax monoidal ∞ -functors of [Lu2], Segal faces play the role of the inert morphisms in Ω).

Definition 1.3 For two objects $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}' \in \infty - OpCat$, corresponding to two fibered ∞ -categories $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}'$ over Ω , the space of lax morphisms from \mathcal{O} to \mathcal{O}' is defined to be the full sub-simplicial set of $Map_{\infty - \mathbf{Cat}/\Omega}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}')$ consisting of ∞ -functors preserving the cartesian morphisms over Segal faces. It is denoted by

$$Map_{\infty - OpCat}^{lax}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}').$$

The ∞ -categorical operads and lax morphisms form a full sub- ∞ -category of ∞ -categories over Ω . It is denoted by $\infty - OpCat^{lax}$.

Let $a : \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}'$ be a lax morphism between categorical ∞ -operad, as defined above and corresponding to an ∞ -functor over Ω

$$\tilde{a} : \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{O}}'.$$

The ∞ -functor \tilde{a} gives in particular ∞ -functors

$$a_T : \mathcal{O}(T) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}'(T),$$

where $\mathcal{O}(T)$ and $\mathcal{O}'(T)$ respectively are the fibers taken at $T \in \Omega$ of the two projections $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}' \rightarrow \Omega$ (the ∞ -functor a_T is well defined up to natural equivalences of ∞ -categories). These ∞ -functors are not functorial in T , but for each morphism $u : T \rightarrow T'$ in Ω , there is a natural transformation of ∞ -functors

$$h_u : u^* a_{T'} \Rightarrow a_T u^* : \mathcal{O}(T') \rightrightarrows \mathcal{O}'(T),$$

well defined up to equivalence. The ∞ -functor a over Ω also controls the higher coherences satisfied by the ∞ -functors a_T and the natural transformation h_u . By definition the natural transformations h_u are all equivalences when u is a Segal face morphism. The mapping space $Map_{\infty-OpCat}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}')$ can be identified with the full sub-simplicial set of $Map_{\infty-Cat/\Omega}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}')$ consisting of all ∞ -functors a such that h_u are equivalences for all morphisms in u .

1.2 Operadic categories

In this paragraph we introduce the notion of a *weak operad in categories*, which will be called *Op-categories*. For this we first recall that for a group G and a category \mathcal{C} , a G -action on \mathcal{C} consists of the data of a monoïdal (associative) functor $G \rightarrow \underline{End}(\mathcal{C})$ which is strictly unital (here G is considered as a discrete monoïdal category). In short, it consists for all $g \in G$ of an auto-equivalence $g(-) : x \mapsto g(x)$ of \mathcal{C} (with $e(-) = Id$) together with a family of natural isomorphisms

$$\{\alpha_{g,h} : g(-) \circ h(-) \simeq (gh)(-)\}_{g,h \in G},$$

satisfying the usual cocycle conditions, that for all $x \in \mathcal{C}$, the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} g(h(k(x))) & \xrightarrow{g(\alpha_{h,k}(x))} & g(hk(x)) \\ \alpha_{g,h}(k(x)) \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_{g,hk}(x) \\ gh(k(x)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{gh,k}(x)} & ghk(x) \end{array}$$

commutes. A G -equivariant functor between two categories \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} with G -actions consists of a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ together with for every $g \in G$ a natural isomorphism $\beta_g : F(g(-)) \simeq g(F(-))$, with $\beta_e = id$ and compatible with the isomorphisms $\alpha_{g,h}$ for \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} . In the sequel we will never write explicitly the natural isomorphisms $\alpha_{g,h}$ and β_g . The categories with G -actions and G -equivariant functors form a strict 2-category in an obvious way. The expression *natural transformation of G -equivariant functors* will refer to the 2-morphisms in this 2-category.

We will denote by FS the category of finite sets. For all $I \in FS$ we denote by Σ_I the group of permutations of I . For a morphism $u : I \rightarrow J$ in FS we denote by Σ_u the automorphism group of u as an object in the category of morphisms in FS . We have a canonical isomorphism

$$\Sigma_u \simeq \Sigma_J \times \prod_{j \in J} \Sigma_{u^{-1}(j)},$$

and canonical morphism of groups $\Sigma_u \rightarrow \Sigma_I$. An *Op-category* \mathcal{C} consists of the following data.

1. (equivariance) For every finite set $I \in FS$ a category $\mathcal{C}(I)$ with an action of the group $\Sigma_I = Aut(I)$.
2. (unit) An object $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathcal{C}(1)$.
3. (composition) For each map of finite sets $u : I \rightarrow J$, a Σ_u -equivariant functor

$$\mu_u : \mathcal{C}(J) \times \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{C}(u^{-1}(j)) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(I).$$

4. (associativity constraints) For every pair of composable maps of finite sets $I \xrightarrow{u} J \xrightarrow{v} K$ a natural isomorphism of Σ_{vu} -equivariant functors $\phi_{u,v} : \mu_u \circ \mu_v \simeq \mu_{vu} \circ \prod_k \mu_{u_k}$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(K) \times \prod_{k \in K} \mathcal{C}(v^{-1}(k)) \times \prod_{j \in v^{-1}(k)} \mathcal{C}(u^{-1}(j)) & \xrightarrow{\mu_v \times id} & \mathcal{C}(J) \times \prod_{k \in K} \prod_{j \in v^{-1}(k)} \mathcal{C}(u^{-1}(j)) \\ \Pi \mu_{u_k} \downarrow & \swarrow \phi_{u,v} & \downarrow \mu_u \\ \mathcal{C}(K) \times \prod_{k \in k} \mathcal{C}((vu)^{-1}(k)) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{vu}} & \mathcal{C}(I), \end{array}$$

where $u_k : (vu)^{-1}(k) \rightarrow v^{-1}(k)$ is the restriction of u .

5. (right unit constraint) For all finite set I , a natural isomorphism of Σ_I -equivariant functors $\alpha_I : pr \simeq \mu_{id} \circ id \times (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}})^I$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(I) & & \\ id \times (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}})^I \downarrow & \searrow id & \\ \mathcal{C}(I) \times \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{C}(\{i\}) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{id}} & \mathcal{C}(I), \end{array}$$

from the projection on the first factor pr and μ_{id} .

6. (left unit constraint) For all finite set I , a natural isomorphism of Σ_I -equivariant functors $\beta_I : pr \simeq \mu_{id} \circ id \times (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}})^I$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(I) & & \\ \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \times id \downarrow & \searrow id & \\ \mathcal{C}(*) \times \mathcal{C}(I) & \xrightarrow{\mu_p} & \mathcal{C}(I), \end{array}$$

where $p : I \rightarrow *$ is the projection to the final object.

These data must satisfy some standard cocycle conditions, expressing that the natural isomorphisms $\phi_{u,v}$, α_I and β_I are compatible with the composition of maps in the category of finite sets FS . We leave these compatibilities to the reader.

For two Op-categories \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} , an *Op-functor* $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ consists of the following data.

1. For every finite set I , a Σ_I -equivariant functor

$$f_I : \mathcal{C}(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(I).$$

2. An isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(\ast)$

$$\gamma_e : \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \simeq f_*(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}).$$

3. For every morphism $u : I \rightarrow J$ in FS , a natural isomorphism of Σ_u -equivariant functors

$$\psi_u : \mu_u \circ (f_J \times \prod_{j \in J} f_{u^{-1}(j)}) \simeq f_I \circ \mu_u$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(J) \times \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{C}(u^{-1}(j)) & \xrightarrow{\mu_u} & \mathcal{C}(I) \\ \downarrow f_J \prod f_{u^{-1}(j)} & \searrow \psi_u & \downarrow f_I \\ \mathcal{D}(J) \times \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{D}(u^{-1}(j)) & \xrightarrow{\mu_u} & \mathcal{D}(I). \end{array}$$

These data are required to satisfy some compatibility left to the reader. For two Op-functors f and g , from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} there is an obvious notion of Op-natural transformations $h : f \Rightarrow g$. It consists for all finite set I of a natural transformation $h_I : f_I \Rightarrow g_I$, which is compatible with the natural isomorphisms γ_e and ψ_u above.

The Op-categories, Op-functors and Op-natural transformations form a strict 2-category in an obvious manner. It will be denoted by $\mathcal{Op} - \mathcal{Cat}$. In the definition of Op-functor the condition that γ_e and the ψ_u 's are isomorphisms can be relaxed by only requiring that they exist as non-invertible natural transformations, in which case we will talk about *lax Op-functors*. The Op-categories, lax Op-functors and Op-natural transformations form another strict 2-category, denoted by $\mathcal{Op} - \mathcal{Cat}^{lax}$. There is an inclusion of 2-categories

$$\mathcal{Op} - \mathcal{Cat} \subset \mathcal{Op} - \mathcal{Cat}^{lax},$$

identifying $\mathcal{Op} - \mathcal{Cat}$ with the strict sub-2-category consisting of lax Op-functors for which γ_e and the ψ_u 's are all isomorphisms.

We finish this paragraph by describing a rectification functor

$$\mathcal{Op} - \mathcal{Cat} \rightarrow \mathit{Fun}(\Omega^{op}, \mathit{Cat}),$$

from the 1-category of Op-categories to the 1-category of functors from Ω^{op} to categories. For an Op-category \mathcal{C} we define a pseudo-functor

$$F_{\mathcal{C}} : \Omega^{op} \rightarrow \mathit{Cat},$$

by sending a tree $T \in \Omega^{op}$ to the category

$$F_{\mathcal{C}}(T) := \prod_{v \in V(T)} \mathcal{C}(I_v(T)),$$

where v runs over all vertices of T and $I_v(T)$ is the valency of v (i.e. the set of ingoing edges at v). Note that if T has no vertex then the formula reads $F_{\mathcal{C}}(T) = *$.

The action of $F_{\mathcal{C}}$ on morphisms in Ω is defined using the structure functors defining the Op-category \mathcal{C} . They can be described for each of the generating morphism: automorphism, inner face, Segal face and degeneracies as follows.

1. For an automorphism $\tau : T \simeq T$ of a tree $T \in \Omega$, the induced action

$$\tau : \prod_v \mathcal{C}(I_v(T)) \simeq \prod_v \mathcal{C}(I_v(T))$$

is defined in a natural way by the $\Sigma_{I_v(T)}$ -action on the category $\mathcal{C}(I_v(T))$.

2. Let T be a tree, e an inner edge of T and $T/e \rightarrow T$ the corresponding morphism in Ω . Let v_0 and v_1 the two boundary vertices of e in T , and x the new vertex in T/e created by the contraction. Then $V(T/e) = V(T) - \{v_0, v_1\} \amalg \{x\}$. The functor

$$c_e : \prod_{v \in V(T)} \mathcal{C}(I_v(T)) \longrightarrow \prod_{v \in V(T/e)} \mathcal{C}(I_v(T/e)),$$

is defined as follows. For $v \in V(T/e)$ different from x , we have $I_v(T/e) = I_v(T)$, and $I_x(T/e) = I_{v_0}(T) \circ_e I_{v_1}(T)$, the gluing of the sets $I_{v_0}(T)$ and $I_{v_1}(T)$ along the element $e \in I_{v_1}(T)$. The functor c_e is defined by a family of functors

$$c_{e,w} : \prod_{v \in V(T)} \mathcal{C}(I_v(T)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(I_w(T)) \quad c_{e,x} : \prod_{v \in V(T)} \mathcal{C}(I_v(T)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(I_{v_0}(T) \circ I_{v_1}(T)).$$

For $w \neq x$, the functor $c_{e,w}$ is taken to be the projection. The functor $c_{e,x}$ is defined to be the following composition

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{v \in V(T)} \mathcal{C}(I_v(T)) &\xrightarrow{pr} \mathcal{C}(I_{v_1}(T)) \times \mathcal{C}(I_{v_0}(T)) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{1}} \\ &\mathcal{C}(I_{v_1}(T)) \times \prod_{j \in I_{v_1}(T)} \mathcal{C}(u^{-1}(j)) \xrightarrow{\mu_u} \mathcal{C}(I_{v_0}(T) \circ I_{v_1}(T)), \end{aligned}$$

where $u : I_{v_0}(T) \circ_e I_{v_1}(T) \rightarrow I_{v_1}(T)$ is the natural projection contracting $I_{v_0}(T)$ to e . Note that we have denoted symbolically by

$$\mathbf{1} : \mathcal{C}(I_{v_1}(T)) \times \mathcal{C}(I_{v_0}(T)) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(I_{v_1}(T)) \times \prod_{j \in I_{v_1}(T)} \mathcal{C}(u^{-1}(j)),$$

the natural functor defined using the units objects $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{C}(u^{-1}(j)) = \mathcal{C}(\{j\})$ for any $j \neq e$, as well as the identity functors of $\mathcal{C}(I_{v_1}(T))$ and $\mathcal{C}(u^{-1}(e))$.

3. Let T be a tree and $T' \rightarrow T$ a morphism in Ω corresponding to a subtree which is the union of sub-collars in T (i.e. T' is determined by a subset $V(T')$ of the set of vertices $V(T)$ of T). The functor

$$F_{\mathcal{C}}(T) = \prod_{v \in V(T)} \mathcal{C}(I_v(T)) \longrightarrow F_{\mathcal{C}}(T') = \prod_{v \in V(T')} \mathcal{C}(I_v(T))$$

is simply defined to be the natural projection on the subfactors corresponding to $V(T') \subset V(T)$.

4. Let T be a tree and e be an edge. Let $T + e \rightarrow T$ be the corresponding morphism in T , which doubles the edge e by adding a vertex x to T . Let v_0 and v_1 the vertices bounding e in T . We have $V(T + e) = V(T) \amalg \{x\}$, and

$$I_v(T + e) = I_v(T) \text{ for } v \neq e \quad I_e(T + e) = *.$$

The functor

$$F_{\mathcal{C}}(T) = \prod_{v \in V(T)} \mathcal{C}(I_v(T)) \times * \longrightarrow F_{\mathcal{C}}(T + e) = \prod_{v \in V(T)} \mathcal{C}(I_v(T)) \times \mathcal{C}(*)$$

is defined to be the product of the identity on the component $\prod_{v \in V(T)} \mathcal{C}(I_v(T))$ with the unit object $\mathbf{1} : * \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(*)$.

The above rules define $F_{\mathcal{C}}$ on objects and morphisms. The coherences needed to promote $F_{\mathcal{C}}$ to a pseudo-functor are themselves naturally defined using the natural isomorphisms $\phi_{u,v}$, α_I and β_I of the definition of an Op-category. We leave this part to the reader.

The above construction defines a functor

$$F_- : \mathcal{O}p - \mathcal{C}at \longrightarrow Fun^{ps}(\Omega^{op}, \mathcal{C}at).$$

We compose this with the rectification functor of pseudofunctors, in order to obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}p - \mathcal{C}at & \longrightarrow & Fun(\Omega^{op}, \mathcal{C}at) \\ \mathcal{C} & \mapsto & \mathcal{C}^{str}, \end{array}$$

which turn functorially Op-categories into strict functors from trees to categories. The construction $\mathcal{C} \mapsto \mathcal{C}^{str}$ will be called the *rectification* or *strictification* construction. It can be shown to induce an equivalence between the 2-category of Op-categories and the full sub 2-category of strict functors $\Omega^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}at$ satisfying the Segal core condition, but this result will not be needed.

2 Operads of (co)spans

We let \mathcal{T} be a (t-complete) ∞ -topos and $X \in \mathcal{T}$ an object. We will associate to it a categorical ∞ -operad $\underline{End}^{\sqcup}(X)$ in \mathcal{T} . This ∞ -operad should be understood as classifying families of cospans, also called co-correspondences, between sums of copies of X .

We choose a presentation $\mathcal{T} \simeq LM$ for M a model topos (see [To-Ve1]). We can choose M to be a combinatorial, simplicial and proper model category, for which the cofibrations are moreover the

monomorphisms. By using the injective model structure on simplicial presheaves on a semi-model site as in [To-Ve1] we moreover assume that the underlying category of M is a presheaf category.

The model category M is endowed with the canonical model topology (see [To-Ve1]), for which the covering morphisms are the epimorphic family and will therefore be considered as a model site. The ∞ -category \mathcal{T} is also equivalent to the ∞ -category of small stacks over the model site M . We warn the reader that this model site being not small some care must be taken by either fixing universes as in [To-Ve1], or by choosing a small but large enough full sub-category C of M as a generating site (see e.g. the proof of [To-Ve1, Thm. 4.9.2]).

We now choose an object $F \in M$ which is a model for $X \in \mathcal{T}$. For all $x \in M$ we define an Op-category $\mathcal{E}(F)(x)$ as follows. For each finite set I the category $\mathcal{E}(F)(x, I)$ possesses as objects the diagrams in M/x of the following form

$$\coprod_I F|_x \longrightarrow F_0 \longleftarrow F|_x,$$

where $F|_x := F \times x$ as an object of M/x . Morphisms in the category $\mathcal{E}(F)(x, I)$, from an object $\coprod_I F|_x \longrightarrow F_0 \longleftarrow F|_x$ to an object $\coprod_I F|_x \longrightarrow F_1 \longleftarrow F|_x$ are defined to be commutative diagrams in M/x

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \coprod_I F|_x & \longrightarrow & F_0 & \longleftarrow & F|_x \\ \text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ \coprod_I F|_x & \longrightarrow & F_1 & \longleftarrow & F|_x. \end{array}$$

Note that the category $\mathcal{E}(F)(x, I)$ has a natural model structure induced from the model structure on M : the equivalences and fibrations are defined on the underlying object $F_0 \in M$. This model structure is moreover simplicial, combinatorial and proper. Note that the cofibrant objects in $\mathcal{E}(F)(x, I)$ are diagrams $\coprod_I F|_x \longrightarrow F_0 \longleftarrow F|_x$ for which the induced morphism $\coprod_I F|_x \coprod F|_x \longrightarrow F_0$ is a cofibration of simplicial presheaves (i.e. a monomorphism).

For each finite set I , the group Σ_I acts in an obvious way on the category $\mathcal{E}(F)(x, I)$, by acting on the object $\coprod_I F|_x$ by permutation of the different factors. For any map of finite sets $u : I \longrightarrow J$, we define a functor

$$\mu_u : \mathcal{E}(F)(x, J) \times \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{E}(F)(x, u^{-1}(j)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(F)(x, I)$$

as follows. This functor associates to an object $\coprod_J F|_x \longrightarrow F_J \longleftarrow F|_x$ and a family of objects $\{\coprod_{u^{-1}(j)} F|_x \longrightarrow F_j \longleftarrow F|_x\}_{j \in J}$ the object

$$\prod_{j \in J} \prod_{u^{-1}(j)} F|_x \longrightarrow \mu_u(F) \longleftarrow F|_x,$$

defined by the push-out square in M on C/x

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \prod_I F|_x = \prod_{j \in J} \prod_{u^{-1}(j)} F|_x & \longrightarrow & \prod_{j \in J} F_j \longleftarrow \prod_J F|_x \\ & & \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\ & & \mu_u(F) \longleftarrow F_J \longleftarrow F|_x. \end{array}$$

The unit for the Op-category $\mathcal{E}(F)(x)$ is the diagram of identities $F|_x \longleftarrow F|_x \longrightarrow F|_x$, considered as an object in $\mathcal{E}(F)(x, *)$. We leave to the reader the definition of the natural isomorphisms $\phi_{u,v}$, β_I and α_I making the family of categories $\mathcal{E}(F)(x, I)$ into an Op-category, induced from the natural associativity constraints of coproducts in M . The operation functors μ_u are moreover compatible with the model structures on the categories $\mathcal{E}(F)(x, I)$, in the sense that they are simplicial left Quillen functors, and thus preserve cofibrations, trivial cofibrations and equivalences between cofibrant objects.

When I is fixed, and $u : y \rightarrow x$ is a morphism in M , we have a base change functor

$$u^* : \mathcal{E}(F)(x, I) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(F)(y, I),$$

induced by the base change $M/x \rightarrow M/y$ sending $z \rightarrow x$ to $z \times_x y \rightarrow y$. The base change functors are right Quillen functors, so preserve fibrations, trivial fibrations and equivalences between fibrant objects. It is also naturally a simplicial functor. We have a natural isomorphism $(uv)^* \simeq v^*u^*$ for two morphisms $z \xrightarrow{v} y \xrightarrow{u} x$ in M , making $x \mapsto \mathcal{E}(F)(x, I)$ into a pseudo-functor from M^{op} to model categories. Moreover, the functors u^* naturally commutes with push-outs in M (as M is a presheaf category) and thus the association $x \mapsto \mathcal{E}(F)(x, -)$ defines a pseudo-functor $M^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{Op} - \mathcal{Cat}$ from M^{op} to the category of Op-categories. Using the rectification $\mathcal{Op} - \mathcal{Cat} \rightarrow \mathit{Fun}^{ps}(\Omega^{op}, \mathcal{Cat})$ we will consider this as a pseudo-functor in two variables

$$\mathcal{E}(F)(-, -) : M^{op} \times \Omega^{op} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Cat}.$$

This is compatible with the simplicial enrichments of the categories $\mathcal{E}(F)(x, I)$, and thus can be promoted to a pseudo-functor from $M^{op} \times \Omega^{op}$ to the 2-category of strict ∞ -categories. For a morphism of trees $f : T \rightarrow T'$ in Ω we will denote by $f^* : \mathcal{E}(F)(-, T') \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(F)(-, T)$ the corresponding natural transformation of pseudo-functors on M^{op} , which is now a simplicial functor.

We now define a strict ∞ -category $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}(F)}$, over $C \times \Omega$ as follows by applying the Grothendieck's construction to the pseudo-functor $\mathcal{E}(F)(-, -)$. Its objects are triples (x, T, c) , where $(x, T) \in M \times \Omega$, and c is an object in $\mathcal{E}(x, T)$. For two objects (x, T, c) and (y, T', c') , we define a simplicial set of maps

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}(F)}((x, T, c), (y, T', c')) := \coprod_{(u, f) \in M(x, y) \times \Omega(T, T')} \underline{\mathit{Hom}}(u^*f^*(c'), c),$$

where $\underline{\mathit{Hom}}(u^*f^*(c'), c)$ is the simplicial hom object of the simplicial category $\mathcal{E}(F)(x, T)$. Morphisms are composed in the obvious way making $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}(F)}$ into a simplicial category (or a strict ∞ -category). It comes equipped with a natural projection

$$\pi : \widetilde{\mathcal{E}(F)} \longrightarrow M \times \Omega,$$

making it into a fibered ∞ -category. The corresponding ∞ -functor simply sends (x, T) to the simplicial category $\mathcal{E}(F)(x, T)$, considered as a strict ∞ -category, and a morphism $(u, f) \in M(x, y) \times \Omega(T, T')$ to the strict ∞ -functor $u^*f^* \simeq f^*u^*$.

We let $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}(F)}^{cf}$ be the full sub- ∞ -category of $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}(F)}$ consisting of objects (x, T, c) with c a cofibrant and fibrant object in $\mathcal{E}(F)(x, T)$.

Lemma 2.1 *The ∞ -category $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(F)^{cf}$ is fibered over $M \times \Omega$.*

Proof: This is a general fact. Let I be a small category and $\mathcal{F} : I^{op} \rightarrow \mathbb{S} - \mathbf{Cat}$ a pseudo-functor from I^{op} to the 2-category of simplicial categories (or strict ∞ -categories). Assume that for all $i \in I$ the category $\mathcal{F}(i)$ is endowed with a simplicial model structure. Assume moreover that for all morphism $u : i \rightarrow j$ in I , the base change functor $u^* : \mathcal{F}(j) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(i)$ preserves cofibrations as well as equivalences between fibrant and cofibrant objects. We let $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ be the (strict) ∞ -category of pairs (i, x) , with $x \in \mathcal{F}(i)$. The simplicial set of maps $(i, x) \rightarrow (j, y)$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is defined to be

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}((i, x), (j, y)) = \coprod_{u \in I(i, j)} \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}(u^*(y), x).$$

There is an obvious projection $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow I$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{cf} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ be the full sub- ∞ -category of pairs (i, x) with x a cofibrant and fibrant object in $\mathcal{F}(i)$. Then the lemma is a special case of the following more general statement.

Sub-lemma 2.2 *With the above notations the ∞ -category $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{cf}$ is fibered over I .*

Proof of the sub-lemma: Let $u : i \rightarrow j$ be a morphism in I , and $y \in \mathcal{F}(j)$ be a fibrant and cofibrant object. Let $\alpha : u^*(y) \rightarrow x$ be a fibrant replacement in $\mathcal{F}(i)$ (so x is fibrant and cofibrant by hypothesis on u^*). The morphism α defines a morphism in $(x, i) \rightarrow (y, j)$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{cf}$ over u , and which is easily checked to be a cartesian lift of u to $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{cf}$. \square

This finishes the proof of the lemma 2.1. \square

By lemma 2.1 and [To-Ve3, Prop. 1.4] we can turn the fibered ∞ -category $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(F)^{cf}$ into an ∞ -functor

$$\underline{\mathbf{End}}^\perp(F) : M^{op} \times \Omega^{op} \rightarrow \infty - \mathbf{Cat}.$$

The value at (x, T) is naturally equivalent to the strict ∞ -category $\mathcal{E}(F)(x, T)^{cf}$, of fibrant and cofibrant objects in $\mathcal{E}(F)(x, T)$. This is also equivalent to the localisation $L\mathcal{E}(F)(x, T)$ of the category $\mathcal{E}(F)(x, T)$ along its subcategory of equivalences. For a morphism $(u, f) : (x, T) \rightarrow (y, T')$ in $C \times \Omega$ the base change along (u, f) is then naturally equivalent to the derived ∞ -functor of the pull-back functor $f^*u^* : \mathcal{E}(F)(y, T') \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(F)(x, T)$

$$Lf^*Ru^* : L\mathcal{E}(F)(y, T') \xleftarrow{\sim} L\mathcal{E}(F)(y, T')^{cf} \xrightarrow{f^*u^*} L\mathcal{E}(F)(x, T)^c \xrightarrow{\sim} L\mathcal{E}(F)(x, T).$$

The ∞ -functor $\underline{\mathbf{End}}^\perp(F)$ also possesses the following two exactness properties.

1. For a fixed object $M \in M$, the ∞ -functor

$$\underline{\mathbf{End}}^\perp(F)(x, -) : \Omega^{op} \rightarrow \infty - \mathbf{Cat}$$

satisfies the Segal core conditions and thus defines an ∞ -categorical operad.

2. For a fixed tree $T \in \Omega$, the ∞ -functor

$$\underline{End}^{\perp}(F)(-, T) : M^{op} \longrightarrow \infty - \mathbf{Cat}$$

sends homotopy colimits in M to homotopy limits, and thus defines a stack of ∞ -categories over the model site M , or equivalently an object in $\infty - \mathbf{Cat}(\mathcal{T})$.

Property (1) holds by construction: for a tree T , we have a natural equivalence

$$\underline{End}^{\perp}(F)(x, T) \simeq \prod_{v \in V(T)} \underline{End}^{\perp}(F)(x, T(n_v)).$$

The property (2) above follows directly from the fact that the prestack of stacks, sending $x \in \mathcal{T}$ to \mathcal{T}/x , is itself a categorical stack (see [To2, A.2]).

The two above properties imply that $\underline{End}^{\perp}(F)$ defines a categorical ∞ -operad over the ∞ -topos \mathcal{T} . It is easy to see that as an object in $\infty - \mathbf{OpCat}(\mathcal{T})$ it does not depend, up to equivalences, on the choice of the model topos M and the model $F \in M$. We will therefore denote it by $\underline{End}^{\perp}(X) \in \infty - \mathbf{OpCat}(\mathcal{T})$.

Definition 2.3 *Let \mathcal{T} be an ∞ -topos and $X \in \mathcal{T}$ an object. The ∞ -operad of co-spans over X is $\underline{End}^{\perp}(X) \in \infty - \mathbf{OpCat}(\mathcal{T})$.*

The ∞ -operad $\underline{End}^{\perp}(X)$ is functorial in X in the following sense. Let $X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism in \mathcal{T} , and choose models $F \rightarrow F'$ in a model topos M such that $LM \simeq \mathcal{T}$ as above.

Recall the two pseudo-functors

$$\mathcal{E}(F)(-, I), \mathcal{E}(F')(-, I) : M^{op} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Op} - \mathbf{Cat}.$$

For all finite set I , we define a natural transformations

$$f_I : \mathcal{E}(F)(-, I) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(F')(-, I),$$

between pseudo-functors as follows. For $x \in M$, $f_I(x)$ sends an object $\prod_I F|_x \longrightarrow F_0 \longleftarrow F|_x$, in

$\mathcal{E}(F)(x, I)$ to $\prod_I F'_|_x \longrightarrow F'_0 \longleftarrow F'_|_x \in \mathcal{E}(F')(x, I)$, where F'_0 is defined to be the push-out in M

$$F'_0 := \left(\prod_I F'_|_x \prod F'_|_x \right) \begin{array}{c} \amalg \\ \\ \amalg \end{array} F_0.$$

Using the functorial associativity and unit isomorphisms for push-outs, the family of functors f_I defines an natural transformation of pseudo-functors of Op-categories on M^{op}

$$f : \mathcal{E}(F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(F').$$

The natural transformation is also compatible with the simplicial structures on the model categories $\mathcal{E}(F)(x, I)$ and $\mathcal{E}(F')(x, I)$, and thus defines a fibered strict ∞ -category

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}(f)} \longrightarrow \Delta^1 \times M \times \Omega,$$

where Δ^1 is the category with two objects 0 and 1, and unique non trivial morphism $0 \rightarrow 1$. The general proof of the lemma 2.1 shows that the full sub- ∞ -category $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}(f)}^{cf}$ of cofibrant and fibrant objects remains fibered over $\Delta^1 \times M \times \Omega$. We thus get a well defined ∞ -functor of ∞ -categories over $M \times \Omega$

$$f : \widetilde{\mathcal{E}(F)}^{cf} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{E}(F')}^{cf}.$$

This ∞ -functor is easily checked to be cartesian and thus defines a morphism of categorical ∞ -operads in \mathcal{T}

$$f : \underline{End}^\perp(X) \longrightarrow \underline{End}^\perp(X').$$

With a bit of care this construction can be naturally lifted to an ∞ -functor

$$\underline{End}^\perp(-) : \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \infty - OpCat(\mathcal{T}).$$

The categorical ∞ -operads $\underline{End}^\perp(X)$ have a dual version, using spans instead of cospans. As above, let \mathcal{T} be of the form LM , for a model topos M . Let $F \in M$ be a model for $X \in \mathcal{T}$, which we choose to be fibrant.

For $x \in M$, We define an Op-category $\mathcal{E}^o(F)(x)$ as follows. For a finite set I , the objects of the category $\mathcal{E}^o(F)(x, I)$ consists of diagrams in M/x

$$\prod_I F|_x \longleftarrow F_0 \longrightarrow F|_x.$$

The morphisms in $\mathcal{E}^o(F)(I)$ from $\prod_I F|_x \longleftarrow F_0 \longrightarrow F|_x$ to $\prod_I F|_x \longleftarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F|_x$, are the commutative diagrams (note the opposite convention compare to morphisms in $\mathcal{E}^o(F)(x, I)$)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \prod_I F|_x & \longleftarrow & F_0 & \longrightarrow & F|_x \\ id \downarrow & & \uparrow & & \downarrow id \\ \prod_I F|_x & \longleftarrow & F_1 & \longrightarrow & F|_x. \end{array}$$

The groups Σ_I naturally act of the categories $\mathcal{E}^o(F)(I)$ by permuting the components of $\prod_I F|_x$. The family of categories $\mathcal{E}^o(F)(x, I)$ can be organized in an Op-category in a similar fashion as for $\mathcal{E}(F)(x, I)$, but for which for any map of finite sets $u : I \rightarrow J$ the Σ_u -equivariant functors

$$\mu_u : \mathcal{E}^o(F)(x, J) \times \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{E}^o(F)(x, u^{-1}(j)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^o(F)(x, I)$$

are defined using pull-backs instead of push-outs in M . We get this way a lax functor

$$\mathcal{E}^o(F)(-, I) : M^{op} \longrightarrow Op - Cat,$$

where for a morphism $u : y \rightarrow x$ in M we use the pull-back functor $u^* : M/x \rightarrow M/y$ in order to define an Op-functor

$$u^* : \mathcal{E}^o(F)(x, I) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^o(F)(y, I).$$

This defines a pseudo-functor of Op-categories $\mathcal{E}^o(F) : M^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{Op} - \mathcal{Cat}$. Using the rectification of Op-categories of §1.2, we can consider $\mathcal{E}^o(F)$ as a pseudo-functor

$$\mathcal{E}^o(F) : M^{op} \times \Omega^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{Cat}.$$

Each category $\mathcal{E}^o(F)(x, T)$ has moreover a model category structure induced from the model structure on M^{op} (for which fibrations are monomorphisms, the cofibrations are the fibrations in M , and equivalences are defined on the underlying object in M : the funny switch between fibrations and cofibrations is induced by the opposite convention on morphisms in $\mathcal{E}^o(F)(x, T)$). These model structures are simplicial and proper (and are opposite to combinatorial model categories), and these structures are compatible with the pseudo-functor $\mathcal{E}^o(F)$ above.

We can form a strict ∞ -category over $M \times \Omega$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}^o(F)} \rightarrow M \times \Omega,$$

whose objects are triples (x, T, c) , where $(x, T) \in M \times \Omega$, and c is an object in $\mathcal{E}^o(x, T)$. For two objects (x, T, c) and (y, T', c') , we define a simplicial set of maps

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}^o(F)}((x, T, c), (y, T', c')) := \coprod_{(u, f) \in C(x, y) \times \Omega(T, T')} \underline{Hom}(u^* f^*(c'), c),$$

where $\underline{Hom}(u^* f^*(c'), c)$ is the simplicial hom object of the simplicial category $\mathcal{E}^o(x, T)$.

As for the ∞ -operad of cospans we consider the full sub- ∞ -category

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}^o(F)}^{cf} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{E}^o(F)}$$

consisting of cofibrant and fibrant objects. By the general proof of lemma 2.1 we observe that the induced projection

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}^o(F)}^{cf} \rightarrow M \times \Omega$$

remains fibered and thus defines an ∞ -functor

$$\underline{End}^\times(F) : M^{op} \times \Omega^{op} \rightarrow \infty - \mathbf{Cat}.$$

Its value at (x, T) is naturally equivalent to $L\mathcal{E}^o(F)(x, T)$, the localisation of $\mathcal{E}^o(F)(x, T)$ along its equivalences, and the base change ∞ -functors are given the derived ∞ -functors of u^* and f^* . As for cospans this ∞ -functor satisfies the following two properties.

1. For a fixed object $x \in M$, the ∞ -functor

$$\underline{End}^\times(F)(x, -) : \Omega^{op} \rightarrow \infty - \mathbf{Cat}$$

satisfies the Segal core conditions and thus defines an ∞ -categorical operad.

2. For a fixed tree $T \in \Omega$, the ∞ -functor

$$\underline{End}^\times(F)(-, T) : M^{op} \longrightarrow \infty - \mathbf{Cat}$$

sends homotopy colimits in M to homotopy limits and thus defines a categorical stack over \mathcal{T} .

The ∞ -functor $\underline{End}^\times(F)$ therefore defines a categorical ∞ -operad over \mathcal{T} . Again this does not depend, up to equivalence, on the choice of the model topos M nor of the model F , and will be denoted by $\underline{End}^\times(X)$.

Definition 2.4 *Let \mathcal{T} be an ∞ -topos and $X \in \mathcal{T}$ an object. The ∞ -operad of spans over X is $\underline{End}^\times(X) \in \infty - OpCat(\mathcal{T})$.*

As for the operads of cospans, the construction $X \mapsto \underline{End}^\times(X)$ can be promoted to an ∞ -functor

$$\mathcal{T}^{op} \longrightarrow \infty - OpCat(\mathcal{T}).$$

This ∞ -functor sends a morphism $u : X \rightarrow X'$ to the morphism $u^* : \underline{End}^\times(X') \rightarrow \underline{End}^\times(X)$ which is obtained by pulling-back the spans over X along the morphism u .

Assume now that $X, Z \in \mathcal{T}$ are two objects. We let $Z^X \in \mathcal{T}$ be the internal hom object of maps from X to Z . We are going to construct a natural morphism of ∞ -categorical operads over \mathcal{T}

$$\underline{End}^\sqcup(X) \longrightarrow \underline{End}^\times(Z^X),$$

well defined up to equivalence. For this we choose again a presentation of \mathcal{T} by a model topos M , and we choose two models F and G in M of X and Z . We suppose that G is a fibrant object. The model category M has internal Hom's, which we denote by exponentiation, and is more precisely an internal model category (see [To3]). We can therefore consider the simplicial functor $(G)^- : M \rightarrow M^{op}$, sending x to G^x . For $x \in M$, we also have the restriction $G|_x := G \times x \in M/x$, which remains a fibrant object in M/x , and we have the simplicial endofunctor over x

$$(G|_x)^- : M/x \longrightarrow (M/x)^{op}$$

where exponentiations are now taken in M/x .

For $(x, T) \in M \times \Omega$, the functor $(G|_x)^-$ induces a simplicial functor

$$(G|_x)^- : \mathcal{E}(F)(x, T) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^o(G^F)(x, T),$$

simply sending an object

$$\coprod_I F|_x \longrightarrow F_0 \longleftarrow F|_x,$$

to

$$\prod_I (G|_x)^{F|_x} \longleftarrow (G|_x)^{F_0} \longrightarrow (G|_x)^{F|_x}.$$

Note here that we use the canonical isomorphisms $(G^F)_{|x} \simeq G^F_{|x}$. This functor commutes, up to natural isomorphisms, with the pull-backs functors along morphisms in $M \times \Omega$, and thus induces a well defined ∞ -functor between strict fibered ∞ -categories over $M \times \Omega$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}(F)} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{E}^o(G^F)}.$$

Finally, as G has been chosen to be fibrant it is easy to see that the above ∞ -functor of fibered ∞ -categories restricts to an ∞ -functor on fibrant and cofibrant objects

$$(G_{|x})^- : \widetilde{\mathcal{E}(F)}^{cf} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{E}^o(G^F)}^{cf}.$$

It is easy to check that this morphism preserves cartesian morphisms, and thus defines a morphism of categorical ∞ -operads over \mathcal{T}

$$(G)^- : \underline{End}^\perp(F) \longrightarrow \underline{End}^\times(G^F).$$

This construction is independent of the choices of M , F and G , and will simply be denoted by

$$\underline{End}^\perp(X) \longrightarrow \underline{End}^\times(Z^X).$$

It is well defined, up to equivalences, as a morphism in the ∞ -category $\infty - CatOp(\mathcal{T})$.

Definition 2.5 *Let \mathcal{T} be an ∞ -topos and $X, Z \in \mathcal{T}$ two objects. The duality map with respect to Z is the morphism of categorical ∞ -operads in \mathcal{T}*

$$\mathbb{D}_Z : \underline{End}^\perp(X) \longrightarrow \underline{End}^\times(Z^X)$$

constructed above.

3 Adjoint and co-adjoint representations of ∞ -operads

The purpose of this section is to prove our first main theorem. It can be summarized as follows. Recall that for an ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} we denote by $\mathcal{O}(n)$ the object $\mathcal{O}(T([n]))$, where $T([n])$ is the tree with a unique vertex and n leaves.

Theorem 3.1 *Let \mathcal{O} be an ∞ -operad in an ∞ -topos \mathcal{T} (assumed t -complete). We suppose that we have $\mathcal{O}(0) \simeq \mathcal{O}(1) \simeq *$. Then there exists a lax morphism of categorical ∞ -operads over \mathcal{T}*

$$ad : \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \underline{End}^\perp(\mathcal{O}(2)).$$

The evaluation of this morphism at the tree $T([n])$ can be described by the following cospans in $\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{O}(n)$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \coprod_n \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(2) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(n+1) & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{O}(2) \times \mathcal{O}(n) \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \mathcal{O}(n) & & \end{array}$$

given by the natural composition morphisms $\mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n+1)$, as well as the natural projection $\mathcal{O}(n+1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n)$ obtained by composing with the canonical 0-ary operation.

The construction of the morphism ad will be described in several steps above. Before getting to the construction and the proof we add a few words concerning the statement itself. The ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} is by assumption an ∞ -operad in \mathcal{T} , or equivalently a limit preserving ∞ -functor

$$\mathcal{O} : \mathcal{T}^{op} \longrightarrow \infty - Op.$$

We consider it as a categorical ∞ -operad over \mathcal{T} by considering the full embedding $\Pi_\infty : \mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow \infty - \mathbf{Cat}$, which sends a simplicial set to its ∞ -fundamental groupoid. This ∞ -functor induces another full embedding when passing to ∞ -operads

$$\Pi_\infty : \infty - Op = \infty - Op(\mathcal{S}) \hookrightarrow \infty - OpCat = \infty - Op(\infty - \mathbf{Cat}),$$

which allows us to consider \mathcal{O} also a categorical ∞ -operad over \mathcal{T} . We should have written $\Pi_\infty(\mathcal{O})$ in the statement 3.1, but for simplicity we will omit this notation and will also consider that the construction Π_∞ has been applied if necessary. A typical example will be for objects of T themselves: they represent limit preserving ∞ -functors $Map(-, x) : \mathcal{T}^{op} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$ and thus will also be considered as limit preserving ∞ -functors $\mathcal{T}^{op} \longrightarrow \infty - \mathbf{Cat}$ (i.e. as categorical stack over \mathcal{T}). The categorical stack represented by x will still be denoted by x .

Another comment concerns the description of the evaluation at a tree of the form $T([n])$. We use here implicitly the following fact: for any two objects $x, y \in \mathcal{T}$, the ∞ -category of morphisms $Map(x, \underline{End}^\sqcup(y)(T(n)))$ is naturally equivalent to the ∞ -category of diagrams in \mathcal{T} of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_n x \times y & \longrightarrow & F \longleftarrow y \times x \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & x, \end{array}$$

or in other words to the double comma ∞ -category $z/(\mathcal{T}/x)$, where $z = \coprod_{n+1} x \times y$. This explains the description of the evaluation of the lax morphism ad at $T(n)$: it is a morphism of categorical stacks over \mathcal{T}

$$\mathcal{O}(n) \longrightarrow \underline{End}^\sqcup(\mathcal{O}(2))(T(n)),$$

and thus can be described as a cospan over $\mathcal{O}(n)$, between $\coprod_n \mathcal{O}(2) \times \mathcal{O}(n)$ and $\mathcal{O}(2) \times \mathcal{O}(n)$.

The morphisms in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_n \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(2) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(n+1) \longleftarrow \mathcal{O}(2) \times \mathcal{O}(n) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \mathcal{O}(n), \end{array}$$

can also be described in more details as follows. Let $\langle k \rangle = \{1, \dots, k\}$. We consider the natural inclusion $\langle n \rangle \rightarrow \langle n+1 \rangle$, which induces a composition ∞ -functor

$$\mathcal{O}(n+1) \simeq \mathcal{O}(n+1) \times \mathcal{O}(\ast)^n \times \mathcal{O}(0) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(n).$$

This is the map which *forget the last leaf* in a tree with leaves labelled by $\langle n+1 \rangle$. Note that this map exists only because we have imposed the condition $\mathcal{O}(1) = \mathcal{O}(0) = *$.

The various morphisms $\coprod_n \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n+1)$ of the left hand side are constructed similarly. Let $i \in \langle n \rangle$ and $\langle n+1 \rangle \rightarrow \langle n \rangle$ be the natural morphism sending $j \leq i$ to j and $j > i$ to $j-1$ (so i is hit two times). It induces a composition ∞ -functor

$$\mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(2) = \mathcal{O}(n) \times \prod_{1 \leq j < i} \mathcal{O}(*) \times \mathcal{O}(2) \times \prod_{i < j \leq n} \mathcal{O}(*) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n+1).$$

This morphism has to be precomposed with the transposition $\tau_{i+1, n+1}$ of $\mathcal{O}(n+1)$ to obtain the ∞ -functor

$$\mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n+1)$$

which is the i -th component of the left hand side morphism of the diagram above. The right hand side is defined similarly by using the morphism $\langle n+1 \rangle \rightarrow \langle 2 \rangle$ sending $n+1$ to 2 and everything else to 1.

Using the language of trees, the projection $\mathcal{O}(n+1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n)$ corresponds to the following diagram of trees (or rather presheaves over Ω)

$$T(n) \xrightarrow{a} T \xleftarrow{b} T(n+1) \coprod T(0),$$

where T is the tree with two vertices obtained by gluing $T(0)$ on the last leaf of $T(n+1)$, the morphism a is the contraction of the unique inner edge of T and the map b is the Segal core decomposition of T at its two vertices. In the same way, the morphism

$$\coprod_n \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n+1),$$

is given by n morphisms of trees

$$T(n+1) \xrightarrow{a_i} T \xleftarrow{b_i} T(n) \coprod T(2),$$

where T is the tree obtained by gluing $T([2])$ on the i -th leaf of $T(n)$ (with a relabelling of the leaves such that the second leaf of $T(2)$ becomes the $n+1$ -th leaf of T), a_i is the contraction of the unique inner edge of T , and b_i is the Segal core decomposition of T . Finally, the morphism of the right hand side

$$\mathcal{O}(n+1) \leftarrow \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(2)$$

is given by the morphism of trees

$$T(n+1) \xrightarrow{a} T \xleftarrow{b} T(n) \coprod T(2),$$

where T is the tree obtained by gluing $T(n)$ on the first leaf of $T(2)$, a is the contraction of the unique inner edge of T and b is the Segal core decomposition.

We now start the proof of the theorem 3.1.

Step 1: We start by some preparations as well as some notations. We present the ∞ -topos $\mathcal{T} \simeq LM$ by a model topos M . We assume as before that M is a combinatorial, proper, simplicial internal model category, and a presheaf category. We use the injective model structures on presheaves and thus assume that cofibrations in M are the monomorphisms.

By the rectification theorem of [Ci-Mo2], the ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} can be chosen to be represented by a strict operad \mathcal{O} in the category M and we can arrange things such that $\mathcal{O}(0) = \mathcal{O}(1) = *$. We let $K := \mathcal{O}(2) \in M$, and we consider $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(K)$ the strict ∞ -category considered during the definition of the operad of cospans in \mathcal{T} . Recall that the objects of $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(K)$ are the triples (x, T, c) , where $x \in M$, $T \in \Omega$, and c is an object in $\mathcal{E}(K)(x, T)$. The sub- ∞ -category $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(K)^{cf}$ consists of triples (x, T, c) with c cofibrant and fibrant in $\mathcal{E}(K)(x, T)$. We thus have an inclusion

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(K)^{cf} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(K)$$

of strict ∞ -categories over $M \times \Omega$.

The operad \mathcal{O} in M gives rise to another fibered category

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{O}} \longrightarrow M \times \Omega,$$

whose objects are triples (x, T, i) , with $x \in M$, $T \in \Omega$ and $i : x \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(T)$ is a morphism in M .

Step 2: We consider the strict ∞ -functors (i.e. simplicial functors) over $M \times \Omega$

$$\phi : \widetilde{\mathcal{O}} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(K).$$

These functors form a category where the morphisms are the simplicial natural transformations over $M \times \Omega$. Among these we consider the strict ∞ -functors ϕ which are (*strictly*) *cartesian in the M direction*. By definition these are the simplicial functors ϕ with the following property: for all (x, T, i) in $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$, the canonical morphism $(x, T, i) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}(T), T, id_{\mathcal{O}(T)})$ given by i is sent to an isomorphism in $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(K)$.

We denote by \mathcal{M} the category of all strict ∞ -functors over $M \times \Omega$, $\phi : \widetilde{\mathcal{O}} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(K)$, cartesian in the M direction. This category is easily seen to be described, up to a canonical equivalence, as follows. For a morphism $f : T' \rightarrow T$ in Ω , and an object $x \in M$, we have the base change functor

$$f^* : \mathcal{E}(K)(x, T) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(K)(x, T')$$

which is left Quillen. In particular, for $x = \mathcal{O}(T)$, we have a left Quillen functor

$$f^* : \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(T), T) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(T), T').$$

The morphism f also induces a morphism in M , $f^* : \mathcal{O}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(T')$ and thus a restriction functor on comma model categories

$$f_! : M/\mathcal{O}(T) \longrightarrow M/\mathcal{O}(T'),$$

defined by sending $x \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(T)$ to the composition $x \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(T')$. This induces another left Quillen functor

$$f_! : \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(T), T) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(T'), T).$$

We note that we have an equality of left Quillen functors

$$f_! f^* = f^* f_! : \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(T), T) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(T'), T'),$$

and this unique functor will be simply denoted by f^\times . The association $T \mapsto \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(T), T)$ and $f \mapsto f^\times$ defines a pseudo-functor \mathcal{G} from Ω^{op} to the 2-category of model categories and left Quillen functors. The Grothendieck's construction provides a fibered category

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \longrightarrow \Omega.$$

The category \mathcal{M} can then be naturally identified with the category of (eventually non cartesian) sections of the projection $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \longrightarrow \Omega$. To put things differently, an object of \mathcal{M} consists of the following data.

1. For a tree $T \in \Omega$ an object $x_T \in \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(T), T)$,
2. For a morphism of trees $f : T' \rightarrow T$ a morphism in $\mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(T'), T')$

$$\alpha_f : f^\times(x_T) \longrightarrow x_{T'}.$$

The data $\{x_T, \alpha_f\}$ is moreover required to satisfy the usual cocycle condition with respect to composition and identity in Ω . We note that by adjunction the morphism α_f might also be described as a morphism in $\mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(T), T')$

$$f^*(x_T) \longrightarrow x_{T'} \times_{\mathcal{O}(T')} \mathcal{O}(T).$$

A morphism between two such data, $\{x_T, \alpha_f\}$ and $\{x_T, \beta_f\}$, is simply the data of a family of morphisms $x_T \longrightarrow y_T$ that commutes with the α_f 's and β_f 's.

It is a standard fact that such categories of sections \mathcal{M} are endowed with natural model structure for which the fibrations and the equivalences are defined on the underlying objects x_T . The general result is subsummed in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2 *Let I be a small category and*

$$\mathcal{G} : I^{op} \longrightarrow \text{Cat}$$

a pseudo-functor. We assume that for all $i \in I$ the category $\mathcal{G}(i)$ is endowed with a combinatorial and simplicial model structure, and that for all morphism $u : i \rightarrow j$ the base change functor $u^ : \mathcal{G}(j) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}(i)$ is a simplicial left Quillen functor. Then, there exists a model structure on the category \mathcal{M} of sections of the Grothendieck's construction $\int_I \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow I$, for which the fibrations and the equivalences are defined levelwise in the model categories $\mathcal{G}(i)$.*

This lemma is a slight generalisation of the existence of the projective model structure on categories of diagrams in combinatorial model categories, which corresponds to the case where the pseudo-functor \mathcal{G} is constant. The general case follows the same argument and is left to the reader (see also [Hi-Si, §17]).

We thus have a model category \mathcal{M} of strict ∞ -functors $\phi : \widetilde{\mathcal{O}} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{E}(K)}$ over $M \times \Omega$ and cartesian in the M direction. We note that for all tree $T \in \Omega$ there is a forgetful functor

$$\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(T), T)$$

which is right Quillen by definition. This functor also preserves cofibrations and in particular cofibrant objects, as this can be checked directly using the small object argument. In particular, a cofibrant and fibrant object in \mathcal{M} possesses cofibrant and fibrant components in each $\mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(T), T)$.

Step 3: We now produce a specific object $a \in \mathcal{M}$ as follows. We start by a tree of the form $T(n)$ (and we note as usual $\mathcal{O}(n)$ for $\mathcal{O}(T(n))$). The object $\mathcal{O}(n+1)$ naturally lies over $\mathcal{O}(n)$ by *forgetting the last leaf*: this is the composition morphism

$$\mathcal{O}(n+1) \simeq \mathcal{O}(n+1) \times \mathcal{O}(0) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(n),$$

which on the level of trees correspond to gluing $T(0)$ on the last leaf of $T(n+1)$ and then contracting the corresponding inner edge. This defines $\mathcal{O}(n+1)$ as an object in $M/\mathcal{O}(n)$. Moreover, the object $\mathcal{O}(n+1)$ also appears in a diagram in $M/\mathcal{O}(n)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \prod_n \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(2) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(n+1) \longleftarrow \mathcal{O}(2) \times \mathcal{O}(n) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \swarrow \\ & & \mathcal{O}(n), \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are natural projections and horizontal maps are given by the possible composition as explained right after the statement of theorem 3.1. We get this way the desired object $a_{T(n)} \in \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(n), T(n))$.

For a general tree $T \in \Omega$, we have two product decompositions

$$\mathcal{O}(T) = \prod_{v \in V(T)} \mathcal{O}(T(v)) \quad \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(T), T) = \prod_{v \in V(T)} \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(T), T(v)),$$

where v runs over the vertices of T and $T(v)$ is the sub-tree of T having v as a unique vertex and all edges adjacents to v (the star in T at v). In particular, the projections $\mathcal{O}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(T(v))$ induce pull-back functors $\mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(T(v)), T(v)) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(T), T(v))$. By considering the direct product of these functors we have an external product functor

$$\boxtimes_v : \prod_{v \in V(T)} \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(T(v)), T(v)) \longrightarrow \prod_{v \in V(T)} \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(T), T(v)) = \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(T), T).$$

By definition, the object a_T is defined by the formula

$$a_T := \boxtimes_v(\{a_{T(v)}\}) \in \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(T), T).$$

It remains to define the transition morphism α_f for $f : T' \rightarrow T$ in Ω . For this we focus on several types of morphisms which all together generate morphisms in Ω . These different types of morphisms have already been recalled and are: automorphisms, Segal (or inner) faces, outer faces and units. Moreover, we have by definition the two product decompositions

$$\mathcal{O}(T) = \prod_{v \in V(T)} \mathcal{O}(T(v)) \quad \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(T), T) = \prod_{v \in V(T)} \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(T), T(v)),$$

and we will define the α_f compatibly. It will therefore be enough to deal with the case of morphisms between very simple trees, cases that we will treat below.

Outer faces: Let $f : T' \rightarrow T$ be an outer face. We have $\mathcal{O}(T) \simeq \mathcal{O}(T') \times \mathcal{O}(T'')$ and a splitting $V(T) \simeq V(T') \amalg V(T'')$ (the tree T' is the maximal subtree of T with vertices in $V(T') \subset V(T)$, as well as for T''). The corresponding base change functor

$$f^* : \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(T), T) = \prod_{v \in V(T)} \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(T), T(v)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(T'), T') = \prod_{v \in V(T')} \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(T'), T'(v))$$

is obtained as the retriiction along the projection $\mathcal{O}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(T')$ and the projection onto the factors corresponding to the inclusion $V(T') \subset V(T)$. The natural morphism $\alpha_f : f^*(a_T) \rightarrow a_{T'}$ is then simply adjoint of the canonical isomorphism in $\mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(T), T)$ (which exists by definition)

$$\alpha_f : f^*(a_T) \simeq a_{T'} \times_{\mathcal{O}(T')} \mathcal{O}(T).$$

Automorphisms: Let $f : T = T(n) \rightarrow T(n)$ be an automorphism of the tree $T(n)$ with a single vertex and n leaves. The automorphism f simply consists of a relabelling of the n leaves of T . The corresponding functor

$$f^* : \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(n), T(n)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(n), T(n))$$

is obtained by the natural diagonal action of the symmetric group Σ_n on $\mathcal{O}(n)$ and on $\mathcal{E}(K)(x, T(n))$ (for any x). The object a_T is here given by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \prod_n \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(2) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(n+1) & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{O}(2) \times \mathcal{O}(n) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ & & \mathcal{O}(n), & & \end{array}$$

which is naturally Σ_n -equivariant, where Σ_n acts simultaneously on $\mathcal{O}(n)$, $\mathcal{O}(n+1)$ and on the factors of the coproduct \prod_n . This implies that a_T is naturally endowed with a structure of an Σ_n -object in $\mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(n), T(n))$, and in particular for a given automorphism f we have a natural isomorphism

$$\alpha_f : f^*(a_T) \simeq a_T.$$

Units: Let $f : T(1) \rightarrow \eta$ be the unit map in Ω (recall that η is the tree with no vertices). The functor

$$f^* : \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(\eta), \eta) = * \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(1), T(1))$$

is given by an object in $\mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(1), T(1)) = \mathcal{E}(K)(*, T(1))$, which is the following diagram of identities of objects in M

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{O}(2) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(2) & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{O}(2) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ & & \bullet & & \end{array}$$

where these are considered as objects over $\mathcal{O}(1) = *$. On the other hand, the object a_1 in $\mathcal{E}(K)(*, T(1))$ is by definition the following diagram of objects over $\mathcal{O}(1)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{O}(2) \simeq \mathcal{O}(1) \times \mathcal{O}(2) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(2) & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{O}(2) \times \mathcal{O}(1) \simeq \mathcal{O}(2) \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\
 & & \mathcal{O}(1) & &
 \end{array}$$

There exists an obvious natural isomorphism

$$\alpha_f : f^*(*) \simeq a_1$$

in the category $\mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(1), T(1))$.

Segal faces: Let n and m be two integers, and T be the tree with two vertices and $n + m$ leaves obtained by gluing the tree $T(n)$ on the i -th leaf of $T(m)$. By relabelling the leaves we can assume that $i = 1$. We have a Segal face $f : T(n + m - 1) \rightarrow T$ which contracts the unique inner edge of T .

We have $\mathcal{O}(T) = \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m)$, and as well

$$\mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(T), T) = \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m), T(n)) \times \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m), T(m)).$$

The functor f^* is then a functor

$$\mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m), T(n)) \times \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m), T(m)) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m), T(n)) \times \mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(n+m-1), T(n+m-1))$$

obtained as follows. For two objects

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \coprod_n (\mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m) \times \mathcal{O}(2)) & \longrightarrow & F & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{O}(2) \times \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m) \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\
 & & \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m), & & \\
 \\
 \coprod_m (\mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m) \times \mathcal{O}(2)) & \longrightarrow & G & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{O}(2) \times \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m) \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\
 & & \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m), & &
 \end{array}$$

we first form the composition as an object in $\mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m), T(m + n - 1))$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \coprod_{n+m-1} (\mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m) \times \mathcal{O}(2)) & \longrightarrow & H & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{O}(2) \times \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m) \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\
 & & \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m), & &
 \end{array}$$

where H is the push-out

$$H = F \coprod_{\mathcal{O}(2) \times \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m)} G,$$

and then we consider the cospan

$$\coprod_{n+m-1} (\mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m) \times \mathcal{O}(2)) \longrightarrow H \longleftarrow \mathcal{O}(2) \times \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m)$$

as living over $\mathcal{O}(n+m-1)$ via the composition morphism $\mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n+m-1)$.

The object $f^*(a_T)$ can thus be described as the following cospan

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{n+m-1} (\mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m) \times \mathcal{O}(2)) & \longrightarrow & f^*(a_T) \longleftarrow \mathcal{O}(2) \times \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \mathcal{O}(n+m-1), \end{array}$$

where $f^*(a_T)$ is defined as a push-out

$$f^*(a_T) := \mathcal{O}(n+1) \times \mathcal{O}(m) \coprod_{\mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m) \times \mathcal{O}(2)} \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m+1),$$

where the two morphisms

$$\mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m) \times \mathcal{O}(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n+1) \times \mathcal{O}(m) \quad \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m) \times \mathcal{O}(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m+1)$$

come from the composition of $\mathcal{O}(2)$ with either $\mathcal{O}(n)$ or $\mathcal{O}(m)$.

On the other hand, the object $a_{T(n+m-1)}$ is by definition the cospan

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{n+m-1} (\mathcal{O}(n+m-1) \times \mathcal{O}(2)) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(n+m) \longleftarrow \mathcal{O}(2) \times \mathcal{O}(n+m-1) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \mathcal{O}(n+m-1). \end{array}$$

There is a canonical morphism in $\mathcal{E}(K)(\mathcal{O}(n+m-1), T([n+m-1]))$

$$\alpha_f : f^*(a_T) \longrightarrow a_{T(n+m-1)},$$

which on the middle terms is given by

$$\mathcal{O}(n+1) \times \mathcal{O}(m) \coprod_{\mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m) \times \mathcal{O}(2)} \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m+1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(n+m)$$

obtained by using the composition morphisms

$$\mathcal{O}(n+1) \times \mathcal{O}(m) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(n+m) \quad \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m+1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(n+m).$$

This last case finishes the definition of the transition morphism α_f , and thus of the object $a \in \mathcal{M}$ as required.

Step 4: We have constructed an object $a \in \mathcal{M}$. We consider a fibrant and cofibrant replacement ad of a in \mathcal{M} . This is still a strict ∞ -functor over $M \times \Omega$

$$ad : \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{E}(K)},$$

but now factors through the full sub- ∞ -category $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}(K)}^{cf}$ of cofibrant and fibrant objects. We get this way an ∞ -functor over $M \times \Omega$

$$ad : \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{E}(K)}^{cf}.$$

It is not hard to check that this morphism is fibered in the M direction and thus correspond to a very lax morphism of ∞ -categorical operads over \mathcal{T}

$$ad : \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \underline{End}^\perp(\mathcal{O}(2)).$$

Finally, by construction this very lax morphism is also checked to be a lax morphism in the sense of definition 1.3 and to satisfies the conditions of the theorem 3.1. \square

Definition 3.3 *Let \mathcal{O} be an ∞ -operad in an ∞ -topos \mathcal{T} with $\mathcal{O}(0) \simeq \mathcal{O}(1) \simeq *$. The lax morphism of categorical ∞ -operads in \mathcal{T}*

$$ad : \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \underline{End}^\perp(\mathcal{O}(2))$$

of theorem 3.1 is called the adjoint representation of \mathcal{O} on $\mathcal{O}(2)$. For an object $X \in \mathcal{T}$, the composition

$$ad^X : \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{ad} \underline{End}^\perp(\mathcal{O}(2)) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}_X} \underline{End}^\times(X^{\mathcal{O}(2)})$$

is called the co-adjoint representation of \mathcal{O} on $X^{\mathcal{O}(2)}$ (where \mathbb{D}_X is the duality morphism of Definition 2.5).

The adjoint and co-adjoint representations of an operad \mathcal{O} are functorial with respect to \mathcal{O} in a rather obvious manner. We leave the reader to construct this functoriality (which follows from the functoriality of the construction of the object a during the proof of theorem 3.1).

Let \mathcal{O} be an ∞ -operad in an ∞ -topos \mathcal{T} with $\mathcal{O}(0) \simeq \mathcal{O}(1) \simeq *$. Theorem 3.1 shows the existence of the adjoint representation of \mathcal{O}

$$ad : \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \underline{End}^\perp(\mathcal{O}(2)),$$

as well as for any object $X \in \mathcal{T}$ of the co-adjoint representation

$$ad^X : \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \underline{End}^\times(X^{\mathcal{O}(2)}).$$

The morphisms ad and ad^X are lax morphisms of categorical ∞ -operads over \mathcal{T} and we will mainly be interested in the special case for which these morphisms are genuine (non-lax) morphisms of categorical ∞ -operads.

Definition 3.4 Let \mathcal{O} be an ∞ -operad in an ∞ -topos \mathcal{T} such that $\mathcal{O}(0) \simeq \mathcal{O}(1) \simeq *$.

1. The ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} is of configuration type if the corresponding adjoint representation ad of \mathcal{O} on $\mathcal{O}(2)$ is a morphism of categorical ∞ -operads.
2. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{T}$ be a class of objects in \mathcal{T} . The ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} is of configuration type with respect to \mathcal{F} if for all $X \in \mathcal{F}$ the corresponding co-adjoint representation ad^X of \mathcal{O} on $X^{\mathcal{O}(2)}$ is a morphism of categorical ∞ -operads.

For an object X , the morphism ad^X is defined to be the composition

$$ad^X : \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{ad} \underline{End}^{\sqcup}(\mathcal{O}(2)) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}_X} \underline{End}^{\times}(X^{\mathcal{O}(2)}),$$

where \mathbb{D}_X is the duality morphism of definition 2.5. The morphism \mathbb{D}_X is an actual, non-lax, morphism of categorical ∞ -operads in \mathcal{T} , and therefore we see that if \mathcal{O} is of configuration type then it is of configuration type with respect to any classes of objects in \mathcal{T} . The converse is true by the Yoneda lemma: \mathcal{O} is of configuration type if it is of configuration type with respect to the class of all objects in \mathcal{T} .

The following proposition is a consequence of the definitions and of the construction of the morphism ad of theorem 3.1.

Proposition 3.5 Let \mathcal{O} be an ∞ -operad in \mathcal{T} with $\mathcal{O}(0) \simeq \mathcal{O}(1) \simeq *$. Then \mathcal{O} is of configuration type if and only if for all pairs of integers $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 2$ the following square of objects in \mathcal{T}

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}(n+1) \times \mathcal{O}(m) & \coprod_{\mathcal{O}(2) \times \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m)} & \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m+1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(m+n) \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ & \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m) \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(m+n-1) \end{array}$$

is cartesian in the ∞ -category \mathcal{T} .

Proof: It is a consequence of the proof of the theorem and of the construction of the morphism ad . Recall that we choose a model topos M as a model for \mathcal{T} , and well as a strict operad \mathcal{O} in M with $\mathcal{O}(0) = \mathcal{O}(1) = *$. The lax morphism ad is defined first by defining an object a of \mathcal{M} : a family of objects $a_T \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{O}(T), T)$ with compatible transition morphisms $\alpha_f : f^*(a_T) \rightarrow a_{T'}$ for all morphism of trees $f : T' \rightarrow T$. The morphism ad is defined by choosing a fibrant and replacement model for a as an object in \mathcal{M} . By analysing how the transition morphisms α_f have been defined during the proof of the theorem 3.1 we see that the ∞ -functor over $M \times \Omega$

$$ad : \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(\widetilde{\mathcal{O}(2)})^{cf}$$

preserves almost all cartesian morphisms in $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$. Indeed, it does preserve all cartesian morphisms over all morphisms in $M \times \Omega$ of the form (u, f) with u arbitrary and f either an automorphism, and degeneracies or an outer face. The only remaining case to check is the case of Segal faces, which precisely gives the

condition of the proposition. □

The dual version of the proposition is the following result. Recall that a commutative square in \mathcal{T}

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x & \longrightarrow & y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ z & \longrightarrow & t \end{array}$$

is cocartesian with respect to a class of objects $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{T}$ if for all $X \in \mathcal{F}$ the commutative square in \mathcal{T}

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^x & \longleftarrow & X^y \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ X^z & \longleftarrow & X^t \end{array}$$

is cartesian. In the same way, for $S \in \mathcal{T}$, a commutative square in \mathcal{T}/S

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x & \longrightarrow & y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ z & \longrightarrow & t \end{array}$$

is cocartesian over S with respect to a class of objects $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{T}$ if for all $X \in \mathcal{F}$ the commutative square in \mathcal{T}/S

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^x & \longleftarrow & X^y \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ X^z & \longleftarrow & X^t \end{array}$$

is cartesian in \mathcal{T}/S (where now the exponentiation is taken relative to S , i.e. inside the ∞ -category \mathcal{T}/S).

Proposition 3.6 *Let \mathcal{O} be an ∞ -operad in \mathcal{T} with $\mathcal{O}(0) \simeq \mathcal{O}(1) \simeq *$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{T}$ a class of objects in \mathcal{T} . Then \mathcal{O} is of configuration type with respect to \mathcal{F} if and only if for all pairs of integers $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 2$ the following square of objects in $\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m)$*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}(2) \times \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(n+1) \times \mathcal{O}(m) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m+1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(n+m) \times_{\mathcal{O}(n+m-1)} (\mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m)) \end{array}$$

is cocartesian over $\mathcal{O}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(m)$ with respect to \mathcal{F} .

Proof: It is the same observation than for proposition 3.5 keeping in mind the definition of the duality morphism \mathbb{D}_X . □

4 Derived category of the space of branes

For the homotopy theory and the ∞ -category theory of dg-categories we refer to [To2, To4, To5, Ro], from which we will use the terminology and the notations.

In this section we fix k a commutative ring of characteristic zero. We will use the notion of dg-categories and dg-functors (over k), as well as the notion of symmetric monoidal dg-categories and symmetric monoidal dg-functors (over k) as studied in [Mor]¹. The category of symmetric monoidal dg-categories will be denoted by $dg - Cat^{\otimes}$, and the category of dg-categories by $dg - Cat$. Both categories, $dg - Cat$ and $dg - Cat^{\otimes}$ have model structures (see [Mor]). These model structures are such that the forgetful functor

$$dg - Cat^{\otimes} \longrightarrow dg - Cat$$

is a right Quillen functor.

The ∞ -categories associated to the model categories $dg - Cat$ and $dg - Cat^{\otimes}$ will be denoted by

$$L(dg - Cat) =: dg - \mathbf{Cat} \quad L(dg - Cat^{\otimes}) =: dg - \mathbf{Cat}^{\otimes}.$$

From now the expressions *dg-functor* and *symmetric monoidal dg-functors* will refer to morphisms in these ∞ -categories (unless we use some specific expression such as *strict dg-functor*).

We remind from [To2] the notion of *locally presentable*, or simply *presentable*, dg-categories, which by definition are the dg-categories quasi-equivalent to left Bousfield localisation of dg-categories of dg-modules over a small dg-category. A dg-functor between two such presentable dg-categories $T \rightarrow T'$ is *continuous* if it preserves (possibly infinite) sums. Presentable dg-categories and continuous dg-functors define a non-full sub- ∞ -category of $dg - \mathbf{Cat}$

$$dg - \mathbf{Cat}_{pr} \subset dg - \mathbf{Cat}.$$

A symmetric monoidal dg-category A is *presentable* if its underlying dg-category is so, and if furthermore the dg-functor defining the monoidal structure

$$\otimes : A \otimes A \longrightarrow A$$

is continuous independently in each variable. By definition, a symmetric monoidal dg-functor between two presentable symmetric monoidal dg-categories $A \rightarrow B$ is *continuous* if and only if it is so when considered as a dg-functor between presentable dg-categories. Presentable symmetric monoidal dg-categories and continuous symmetric monoidal dg-functors define a non-full sub- ∞ -category of $dg - \mathbf{Cat}^{\otimes}$

$$dg - \mathbf{Cat}_{pr}^{\otimes} \subset dg - \mathbf{Cat}^{\otimes}.$$

By definition, if A is presentable symmetric monoidal dg-category, then the dg-functor $\otimes : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ descends to a continuous dg-functor

$$\otimes : A \hat{\otimes} A \longrightarrow A$$

¹We use non-closed symmetric monoidal dg-categories, whose theory is a bit simpler than the theory exposed in [Mor].

where $\hat{\otimes}$ denotes the tensor product of presentable dg-categories defined in [To2]. Recall that for two presentable dg-categories A and B , the dg-category $A\hat{\otimes}B$ is presentable and comes equipped with a dg-functor

$$A \otimes B \longrightarrow A\hat{\otimes}B$$

which commutes with sums individually in each variable (we will use the expression *bicontinuous* or *multicontinuous*), and which is universal, in the ∞ -category $dg - \mathbf{Cat}^{\otimes}$, for this property. More precisely, for any dg-category C which is cocomplete (i.e. is triangulated and possesses sums), the restriction morphism on the mapping spaces of dg-categories

$$Map(A\hat{\otimes}B, C) \longrightarrow Map(A \otimes B, C)$$

induces an equivalence on the subsimplicial set of continuous dg-functors on the left hand side, and of bicontinuous dg-functor on the right hand side. A direct consequence of this universal property is the following statement: for A and B two presentable dg-categories, the Yoneda embedding induces a fully faithful dg-functor

$$A\hat{\otimes}B \hookrightarrow \widehat{A \otimes B},$$

where $\widehat{A \otimes B}$ is the dg-category of cofibrant $A^{op} \otimes B^{op}$ -dg-modules (and is also equivalent to $\mathbb{R}\underline{Hom}(A^{op} \otimes B^{op}, \hat{k})$). The essential image of this full embedding consists of all $A^{op} \otimes B^{op}$ -dg-modules sending limits individually in each factor to limits (i.e. corresponding to bicontinuous dg-functors $A \otimes B \rightarrow \hat{k}^{op}$).

We now let \mathcal{T} be an ∞ -topos (t-complete as usual), and let

$$\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{T}^{op} \longrightarrow dg - \mathbf{Cat}_{pr}^{\otimes}$$

be a stack of symmetric monoidal dg-categories. By the adjoint functor theorem (see [To-Ve3]) we know that, after forgetting the monoidal structures, all the dg-functors $u^* : \mathcal{D}(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(y)$ have right adjoints $u_* : \mathcal{D}(y) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(x)$, but which might not be themselves continuous. We have external product dg-functors

$$\mathcal{D}(x) \otimes \mathcal{D}(y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(x \times y),$$

induced by the symmetric monoidal structures on \mathcal{D} , defined by the tensor product of the two pull-backs along the projections

$$\mathcal{D}(x) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(x \times y) \quad \mathcal{D}(y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(x \times y).$$

It does factor as a dg-functor

$$\mathcal{D}(x)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{D}(y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(x \times y),$$

also referred to as the external product dg-functor.

We let A be a presentable dg-category over k , which we assume to be locally cofibrant over k (i.e. $A(a, b)$ is a cofibrant complex of k -modules for all pair of objects (a, b)). We will define a categorical ∞ -operad $\underline{End}^{\otimes}(A)$ over \mathcal{T} , encoding families of multilinear endofunctors of A parametrised by \mathcal{D} . We choose a presentation $\mathcal{T} \simeq LM$ for a model topos M . By the rectification for diagrams we can represent, up to an equivalence, the ∞ -functor $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{T}^{op} \longrightarrow dg - \mathbf{Cat}^{\otimes}$ by a functor of categories

$$\mathcal{D} : M^{op} \longrightarrow dg - Cat^{\otimes},$$

where $dg - Cat^{\otimes}$ is the model category of symmetric monoidal dg-categories. By composing this functor by a cofibrant replacement functor in $dg - Cat^{\otimes}$ we can even assume that for all $x \in M$ the dg-category $\mathcal{D}(x)$ has cofibrant hom complexes.

For x be an object of M we define an Op-category $\mathcal{E}(A)(x, -)$ as follows. For a finite set I the category $\mathcal{E}(A)(x, I)$ is the category of all $A^{\otimes I} \otimes A^{op} \otimes \mathcal{D}(x)^{op}$ -dg-modules F

$$\mathcal{E}(A)(x, I) := (A^{\otimes I} \otimes A^{op} \otimes \mathcal{D}(x)^{op}) - Mod.$$

The identity object $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{E}(A)(x, *)$ is the diagonal bi-dg-module base changed to $\mathcal{D}(x)^{op}$

$$\mathbf{1} := A \otimes \mathcal{D}(x)^{op} \in (A \otimes A^{op} \otimes \mathcal{D}(x)^{op}) - Mod,$$

where A denotes the usual identity $A \otimes A^{op}$ -dg-module: $(a, b) \mapsto A(b, a)$. The symmetric group Σ_I acts on $\mathcal{E}(x, I)$ by permutation of the factors of $A^{\otimes I}$.

For a map of finite sets $u : I \rightarrow J$ the composition functor

$$\mu_u : \mathcal{E}(A)(x, J) \times \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{E}(A)(x, u^{-1}(j)) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(A)(x, I)$$

is defined as follows. To an object $F \in \mathcal{E}(A)(x, J)$ and a family of objects $F_j \in \mathcal{E}(A)(x, u^{-1}(j))$, we form two dg-modules

$$\begin{aligned} \boxtimes_{j \in J} F_j &\in (A^{\otimes I} \otimes (A^{op})^{\otimes J} \otimes \mathcal{D}(x)^{op}) - Mod \\ F &\in (A^{\otimes J} \otimes A^{op} \otimes \mathcal{D}(x)^{op}) - Mod. \end{aligned}$$

The first of these dg-modules is the external tensor product of the F_j 's, which by definition is $\otimes_{j \in J} F_j$, considered as dg-module over the dg-category

$$\otimes_{j \in J} (A^{\otimes u_j} \otimes A^{op} \otimes \mathcal{D}(x)^{op}) \simeq A^{\otimes I} \otimes (A^{op})^{\otimes J} \otimes (\mathcal{D}(x)^{op})^{\otimes J},$$

and base changed to $A^{\otimes I} \otimes (A^{op})^{\otimes J} \otimes \mathcal{D}(x)^{op}$ by the dg-functor

$$\otimes^J : \mathcal{D}(x)^{\otimes J} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(x),$$

given by the monoidal structure on $\mathcal{D}(x)$. We consider F as left $A^{\otimes J}$ -dg-module and a right $A \otimes \mathcal{D}(x)$ -dg-module, and $\boxtimes_{j \in J} F_j$ as a left $A^{\otimes I} \otimes \mathcal{D}(x)^{op}$ -dg-module and a right $A^{\otimes J}$ -dg-module.

We can compose these two dg-modules to get an new object

$$(\boxtimes_{j \in J} F_j) \otimes_{A^{\otimes J}} F \in (A^{\otimes I} \otimes \mathcal{D}(x)^{op} \otimes A^{op} \otimes \mathcal{D}(x)^{op}) - Mod.$$

Finally, we base change this by the dg-functor $\otimes : \mathcal{D}(x) \otimes \mathcal{D}(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(x)$ to get the desired object

$$\mu_u(F, \{F_j\}) \in \mathcal{E}(A)(x, I).$$

This defines the functor μ_u . We leave to the reader the definition of the natural isomorphisms (the β 's, α 's and ϕ 's), given by the rather obvious choices, making the data above into a categorical operad $\mathcal{E}(A)(x, -)$ in the sense of §2.

The categorical operad $\mathcal{E}(A)(x, -)$ is functorial in $x \in M$ as follows. For a morphism $u : x \rightarrow y$, we have a symmetric monoidal dg-functor $u^* : \mathcal{D}(y)^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(x)^{op}$. This dg-functor induces base change functors on the level dg-modules and thus a functor

$$\mathcal{E}(A)(y, I) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(A)(x, I)$$

for various finite sets I , and promotes to an Op-functor $\mathcal{E}(A)(y, -) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(A)(x, -)$. This defines a pseudo-functor $x \mapsto \mathcal{E}(A)(x, -)$ from M^{op} to the 2-category of categorical operads and Op-functors.

Each category $\mathcal{E}(A)(x, I)$ comes equipped with a model structure, for which the fibrations are epimorphisms and equivalences are quasi-isomorphisms of dg-modules. This model category is not a simplicial model category. To overcome this difficulty we consider $cs\mathcal{E}(A)(x, I)$ the category of co-simplicial objects in $\mathcal{E}(A)(x, I)$. This category comes equipped with a natural simplicial enrichment simply because it is the category of co-simplicial objects in a presentable category. We endow moreover the category $cs\mathcal{E}(A)(x, I)$ with a model structure as follows. We start with the projective levelwise model structure on $\mathcal{E}(A)(x, I)^\Delta$, the category of co-simplicial objects in $\mathcal{E}(A)(x, I)$, for which fibrations and equivalences are defined levelwise. We perform a left Bousfield localization along all the morphisms of Δ so that the fibrant objects are the objects E^* such that for all $u : [m] \rightarrow [n]$ in Δ , the transition morphism $E^m \rightarrow E^n$ is an equivalence in $\mathcal{E}(A)(x, I)$. With this model structure, there is a left Quillen functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}(A)(x, I) & \longrightarrow & cs\mathcal{E}(A)(x, I) \\ E & \mapsto & \Delta E, \end{array}$$

sending a dg-module E to $C_*(\Delta^*) \otimes E$, the co-simplicial dg-module obtained by tensoring E with the chains on the standard simplicial simplices. This left Quillen functor is a Quillen equivalence whose right adjoint is the totalisation functor of co-simplicial dg-modules. In the sequel we will often use the left Quillen functor $E \mapsto \Delta E$ implicitly and will therefore allow ourself to consider objects in $\mathcal{E}(A)(x, I)$ also as objects in $cs\mathcal{E}(A)(x, I)$.

The categorical operad structure on $\mathcal{E}(A)(x, -)$ produces an induced categorical operad structure on $cs\mathcal{E}(A)(x, -)$, and the pseudo-functor

$$\mathcal{E}(A) : M^{op} \times \Omega^{op} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Op} - \mathcal{Cat}$$

extends to a pseudo-functor on co-simplicial objects

$$cs\mathcal{E}(A) : M^{op} \times \Omega^{op} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Op} - \mathcal{Cat}.$$

This last pseudo-functor $cs\mathcal{E}(A)$ has now a natural simplicial enrichment, and its Grothendieck's construction provides a (strict) fibered ∞ -category

$$\widetilde{cs\mathcal{E}(A)} \longrightarrow M \times \Omega,$$

whose fiber at $(x, T) \in M \times \Omega$ is the strict ∞ -category

$$cs\mathcal{E}(A)(x, T) := \prod_{v \in V(T)} cs\mathcal{E}(A)(x, T(v)).$$

We denote by $\widetilde{cs\mathcal{E}(A)}^{cf}$ the full sub- ∞ -category of $\widetilde{cs\mathcal{E}(A)}$ consisting of objects (x, T, c) , where c is a cofibrant and fibrant object in $cs\mathcal{E}(A)(x, T)$. Using once again the sublemma 2.2 we see that $\widetilde{cs\mathcal{E}(A)}^{cf}$ remains fibered over $M \times \Omega$. Note that the fiber at (x, T) of $\widetilde{cs\mathcal{E}(A)}^{cf}$ is an ∞ -category naturally equivalent to the ∞ -category $L(\mathcal{E}(A)(x, T))$ of objects in the model category $\mathcal{E}(A)(x, T)$.

We denote by $\widetilde{cs\mathcal{E}(A)}_r^{cf}$ the full sub- ∞ -category of *representable objects* defined as follows. Let n be an integer and $(x, T(n), c)$ be an object of $\widetilde{cs\mathcal{E}(A)}^{cf}$. By definition c corresponds to a dg-module over $A^{\otimes n} \otimes A^{op} \otimes \mathcal{D}(x)^{op}$, well defined up to quasi-isomorphism. We say that c is *representable* if it satisfies the following two conditions.

1. The dg-module c sends limits in each individual factor of $A^{op} \otimes \mathcal{D}(x)^{op}$ to limits. In other words, for all object $a \in A^{\otimes n}$, the $A^{op} \otimes \mathcal{D}(x)^{op}$ -dg-module $c(a, -)$ belongs to the essential image of the full embedding of dg-categories $A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}(x) \hookrightarrow A \otimes \mathcal{D}(x)$.
2. The corresponding dg-functor induced by the property above

$$c : A^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}(x)$$

is multicontinuous.

To put things differently, the representable objects are the triples $(x, T(n), c)$, where c corresponds to the dg-module induced from a continuous dg-functor

$$A^{\hat{\otimes} n} \longrightarrow A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}(x).$$

The ∞ -category $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}(A)}_r^{cf}$ remains a fibered ∞ -category over $M \times \Omega$. This fibered ∞ -category provides an ∞ -functor

$$M^{op} \times \Omega^{op} \longrightarrow \infty - \mathbf{Cat},$$

whose value at (x, T) is an ∞ -category equivalent to the simplicially enriched category of cofibrant and representable objects in $\mathcal{E}(A)(x, T)$. This ∞ -categories is also equivalent to the ∞ -category

$$\prod_{v \in V(T)} \mathbb{R}\underline{Hom}_c(A^{\hat{\otimes} n_v}, A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}(x)),$$

of families of continuous dg-functors from the $A^{\hat{\otimes} n_v}$'s to $A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}(x)$. For a morphism $u : x \rightarrow y$ in M , the base change ∞ -functor along the morphism $(u, id) : (x, T) \rightarrow (y, T)$ is given by composition with $u^* : \mathcal{D}(y) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(x)$ on the right. In a similar fashion, the base change along a morphism $(id, f) : (x, T) \rightarrow (x, T')$ is induced from the compositions on the left.

It is easy to see that the ∞ -functor $M^{op} \times \Omega^{op} \longrightarrow \infty - \mathbf{Cat}$ obtained above sends equivalences in M to equivalences of ∞ -categories, and thus defines an ∞ -functor

$$\underline{End}^{\otimes}(A) : \mathcal{T}^{op} \times \Omega \longrightarrow \infty - \mathbf{Cat}.$$

By definition it does satisfy the Segal core condition in the second variable and thus defines an ∞ -functor

$$\underline{End}^{\otimes}(A) : \mathcal{T}^{op} \longrightarrow \infty - OpCat.$$

A priori this ∞ -functor is not a stack on \mathcal{T} , it does not send colimits in \mathcal{T} to limits of ∞ -categories (because $A \hat{\otimes} -$ does not preserve limits of presentable dg-categories in general). To solve this problem we introduce the following notion.

Definition 4.1 *Let $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{T}^{op} \rightarrow dg - \mathbf{Cat}_{pr}$ be a stack of presentable dg-categories and A a presentable dg-category. The dg-category A has descent with respect to \mathcal{D} if the ∞ -functor*

$$A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}(-) : \mathcal{T}^{op} \rightarrow dg - \mathbf{Cat}_{pr}$$

is a stack.

It is a formal consequence of duality that if A is dualizable, as an object in the symmetric monoidal ∞ -category of presentable dg-categories (see [To-Ve3]), then A always has descent with respect to any \mathcal{D} . For instance, this is the case when A is compactly generated, as compactly generated dg-categories are dualizable objects (see [To2, To-Ve3]).

We assume from now that A has descent with respect to \mathcal{D} in the sense above. Then, the ∞ -functor we have constructed above

$$\underline{End}^{\otimes}(A) : \mathcal{T}^{op} \rightarrow \infty - OpCat,$$

is a stack in categorical ∞ -operads.

Definition 4.2 *Let A be a locally presentable dg-category with descent with respect to \mathcal{D} . The categorical ∞ -operad of endo-dg-functors of A is $\underline{End}^{\otimes}(A)$ defined above.*

The categorical ∞ -operad $\underline{End}^{\otimes}(A)$ can be understood as an ∞ -functor

$$\mathcal{T}^{op} \times \Omega^{op} \rightarrow \infty - \mathbf{Cat}.$$

By construction, the value at (x, T) of this ∞ -functor is given, up to equivalences of ∞ -categories, by the following formula

$$\underline{End}^{\otimes}(A)(x, T) \simeq \prod_{v \in V(T)} \mathbb{R}\underline{Hom}_c(A^{\hat{\otimes} n_v}, A \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}(x)).$$

For the next result we need to recall the notion of base change. Suppose that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x & \xrightarrow{f} & y \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ z & \xrightarrow{g} & t \end{array}$$

is a commutative square of objects in \mathcal{T} . We say that the base change formula holds (with respect to \mathcal{D}) if the natural transformation of dg-functor (i.e. the morphism in $\mathbb{R}\underline{Hom}_c(\mathcal{D}(y), \mathcal{D}(z))$)

$$h : g^* q_* \Rightarrow p_* f^*$$

is an equivalence (the natural transformation h above is of course the natural one induced by adjunction between pull-backs and push-forward).

Using this notion, we will say that a morphism $g : z \rightarrow t$ in \mathcal{T} *satisfies the base change formula* (with respect to \mathcal{D}), if for all morphism $q : y \rightarrow t$ the pull-back square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x & \xrightarrow{f} & y \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ z & \xrightarrow{g} & t \end{array}$$

does so.

We now assume that \mathcal{O} is a categorical ∞ -operad over \mathcal{T} with $\mathcal{O}(0) = \mathcal{O}(1) = *$, and $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{T}$ is a class of objects in \mathcal{T} . We suppose that the following conditions are satisfied.

1. The sub- ∞ -category \mathcal{F} is a closed by finite limits and is a generating site for \mathcal{T} .
2. For all $X \in \mathcal{F}$, the dg-category $\mathcal{D}(X)$ is compactly generated, and for all the morphisms $f : Y \rightarrow X$ in \mathcal{F} the dg-functor $f^* : \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(Y)$ preserves compact objects.
3. For any two objects X and Y in \mathcal{F} , the external product dg-functor

$$\mathcal{D}(X) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X \times Y)$$

is an equivalence of dg-categories.

4. All the morphisms between objects of \mathcal{F} satisfies the base change formula with respect to \mathcal{D} .
5. The ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} is of configuration type with respect to \mathcal{F} .
6. For all $X \in \mathcal{F}$, and all n , the object $X^{\mathcal{O}(n)}$ belongs to \mathcal{F} .
7. For an integer n , consider the natural projection $\mathcal{O}(n+1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n)$ as well as the induced morphism

$$\text{Map}_{/\mathcal{O}(n)}(\mathcal{O}(n+1), X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n).$$

Then for all $Y \in \mathcal{F}$ and all morphism $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n)$, the pull-back

$$\text{Map}_{/\mathcal{O}(n)}(\mathcal{O}(n+1), X) \times_{\mathcal{O}(n)} Y \simeq \text{Map}_Y(\mathcal{O}(n+1) \times_{\mathcal{O}(n)} Y, X)$$

belongs to \mathcal{F} .

8. For all n , the dg-category $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}(n))$ is compactly generated.

We start by some direct consequences of the assumptions above. We first note that for every object $Z \in \mathcal{T}$, the natural morphism

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}(n)) \otimes \mathcal{D}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}(n) \times Z)$$

induces an equivalence

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}(n)) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}(Z) \simeq \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}(n) \times Z).$$

Indeed, by condition (1) we can write Z has a colimit in \mathcal{T} of object Z_i in \mathcal{F} . We thus have

$$\mathcal{D}(Z) \simeq \text{Lim}\mathcal{D}(Z_i) \quad \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}(n) \times Z) \simeq \text{Lim}\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}(n) \times Z_i).$$

By (8) the dg-category $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}(n))$ is compactly generated and hence dualizable (see [To2]), and thus $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}(n))\hat{\otimes}$ - commutes with limits of presentable dg-categories. We have

$$\text{Lim}\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}(n))\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{D}(Z_i) \simeq \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}(n))\hat{\otimes}(\text{Lim}\mathcal{D}(Z_i)) \simeq \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}(n))\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{D}(Z).$$

This reduces the problem to the case $Z \in \mathcal{F}$. We then argue similarly by writing $\mathcal{O}(n)$ as a colimit of objects in \mathcal{F} and use the condition (3) above to conclude that

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}(n))\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{D}(Z) \simeq \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}(n) \times Z).$$

Another consequence is that for all tree $\mathcal{T} \in \Omega$ and every $X \in \mathcal{F}$, the object $X^{\mathcal{O}(T)}$ belongs to \mathcal{F} . Indeed, $\mathcal{O}(T)$ is the product $\prod_{v \in V(T)} \mathcal{O}(T(v))$, and we know that this is true for $T(v)$ by condition (6). The general case follows from the formula

$$X^{a \times b} \simeq (X^a)^b$$

for two objects a and b in \mathcal{T} .

Corollary 4.3 *Under all the conditions (1)–(8) above, there exists a morphism of categorical ∞ -operads over \mathcal{T}*

$$B : \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \underline{\text{End}}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{D}(X^{\mathcal{O}(2)})).$$

This morphism, evaluated at the tree $T(n)$, corresponds to the following continuous dg-functor

$$q_*p^* : \mathcal{D}(X^{\mathcal{O}(2)})^{\hat{\otimes}n} \simeq \mathcal{D}((X^{\mathcal{O}(2)})^n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(X^{\mathcal{O}(2)} \times \mathcal{O}(n)) \simeq \mathcal{D}(X^{\mathcal{O}(2)})\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}(n))$$

induced by the following span

$$(X^{\mathcal{O}(2)})^n \xleftarrow{p} \text{Map}_{/\mathcal{O}(n)}(\mathcal{O}(n+1), x) \xrightarrow{q} X^{\mathcal{O}(2)} \times \mathcal{O}(n),$$

induced from the diagram of theorem 3.1, and where $\text{Map}_{/\mathcal{O}(n)}$ denotes the internal hom object of $\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{O}(n)$.

Proof: We choose a model topos M with an equivalence $\mathcal{T} \simeq LM$. We choose a model $X \in M$ as a fibrant object. We let $Z := X^{\mathcal{O}(2)}$ the internal hom object of morphisms from $\mathcal{O}(2)$ to Z . The object Z is fibrant. We choose model for \mathcal{D} as a functor

$$\mathcal{D} : M^{op} \longrightarrow \text{dg-Cat}^{\otimes},$$

with cofibrant values.

We recall (from the construction of the categorical ∞ -operad of spans in §3) the pseudo-functor $\mathcal{E}^{\circ}(Z) : M^{op} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}p\text{-Cat}$. In the same we let $A := \mathcal{D}(Z)$ and consider the pseudo-functor $\mathcal{E}(A) : M^{op} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}p\text{-Cat}$. We start by the construction of a natural transformation of pseudo-functors $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{E}^{\circ}(Z) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(A)$

as follows. For $x \in M$ and I a finite set, the category $\mathcal{E}^o(Z)(x, I)$ is the opposite of the category of diagrams in M

$$\prod_I Z^I \longleftarrow F \longrightarrow Z \times x.$$

We use the image by \mathcal{D} and the external product dg-functors to get a cospan of dg-categories

$$\mathcal{D}(Z)^{\otimes I} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\prod_I Z^I) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(F) \longleftarrow \mathcal{D}(Z \times x) \longleftarrow \mathcal{D}(Z) \otimes \mathcal{D}(x).$$

From this we get two dg-functors

$$\mathcal{D}(Z)^{\otimes I} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{D}(F) \xleftarrow{g} \mathcal{D}(Z) \otimes \mathcal{D}(x),$$

and thus a dg-module

$$\mathcal{D}(F)(g, f) \in \mathcal{D}(Z)^{\otimes I} \otimes \mathcal{D}(Z)^{op} \otimes \mathcal{D}(x)^{op},$$

sending a triplet of objects (z, u, v) to the complex $\mathcal{D}(F)(g(u, v), f(z))$. This dg-module defines an object in $\mathcal{E}(A)(x, I)$, and this construction extends in an obvious way to a lax Op-functor of Op-categories

$$\mathcal{D}_x : \mathcal{E}^o(Z)(x, -) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(A)(x, -).$$

Let $u : y \rightarrow x$ be a morphism in M . It is easy to construct a natural transformation of Op-functors

$$u^* \mathcal{D}_x \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_y u^* : \mathcal{E}^o(Z)(x, -) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(A)(y, -).$$

All together this defines a morphism of fibered categories over $M \times \Omega$

$$\mathcal{D} : \widetilde{\mathcal{E}^o(Z)} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{E}(A)}.$$

Passing to cosimplicial objects on both sides we get this way a strict ∞ -functor between fibered strict ∞ -categories over $M \times \Omega$

$$\mathcal{D} : \widetilde{cs\mathcal{E}^o(Z)} \longrightarrow \widetilde{cs\mathcal{E}(A)}.$$

Taking the Grothendieck's construction we obtain another fibered strict ∞ -category

$$\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow M \times \Omega \times \Delta^1.$$

The pull-back over $M \times \Omega \times \{0\}$ is naturally equivalent to $\widetilde{cs\mathcal{E}(A)}$ whereas the pull-back over $M \times \Omega \times \{1\}$ gives back $\widetilde{cs\mathcal{E}^o(Z)}$. We endow the categories $cs\mathcal{E}^o(Z)(x, I)$ with simplicial model structures analogs to $cs\mathcal{E}(A)$, for which the fibrant objects are the homotopically constant diagrams of fibrant objects.

We let \mathcal{M}^{cf} the full sub- ∞ -category of \mathcal{M} consisting of objects being fibrant and cofibrant in the fibers, as well as being representable in the sense given in this section during the definition of the ∞ -operad $\underline{End}^{\otimes}(A)$. This is a strict ∞ -category over $M \times \Omega \times \Delta^1$, which is fibered in the Δ direction. By construction, the pull-back of \mathcal{M}^{cf} over $M \times \Omega \times \{0\}$ is naturally equivalent to $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}(A)}^{cf}$, and the pull-back over $M \times \Omega \times \{1\}$ is naturally equivalent to $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}^o(Z)}^{cf}$. Moreover, the fibered ∞ -category \mathcal{M}_r^{cf} produces a lax morphism of categorical ∞ -operads over \mathcal{T}

$$\mathcal{D} : \underline{End}^{\times}(Z) \longrightarrow \underline{End}_{nc}^{\otimes}(A),$$

where $\underline{End}_{nc}^{\otimes}(A)$ is defined as in 4.2 but without the representable condition (only cofibrant dg-modules, *nc* stands for *non-continuous*).

We precompose the lax morphism \mathcal{D} with the co-adjoint representation of 3.3

$$ad^X : \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \underline{End}^{\times}(Z),$$

in order to get a lax morphism of categorical ∞ -operads

$$B : \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \underline{End}_{nc}^{\otimes}(A).$$

The condition (1) – (8) expressed before the statement of the corollary implies that this lax morphism factors through $\underline{End}^{\otimes}(A)$, the sub categorical ∞ -operad of $\underline{End}_{nc}^{\otimes}(A)$ consisting of representable dg-modules. These conditions also imply that this lax morphism is in fact a morphism of categorical ∞ -operads over \mathcal{T} . By construction it satisfies the last condition stated in the proposition. \square

Definition 4.4 *Let $X \in \mathcal{T}$ and \mathcal{O} an ∞ -operad of configuration type relative to a class $X \in \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{T}$ and satisfying the condition (1) – (8) stated before corollary 4.3. The space of \mathcal{O} -branes on X is defined to be the object*

$$B_{\mathcal{O}}(X) := X^{\mathcal{O}(2)} \in \mathcal{T}.$$

The morphism of categorical ∞ -operads in \mathcal{T}

$$B : \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \underline{End}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{D}(B_{\mathcal{O}}(X)))$$

is called the brane operation morphism.

5 One application: higher formality

In this last section we propose one application of the theorem 3.1 as well as its consequence corollary 4.3.

For both of these applications we let k be a base field of characteristic zero. We set $\mathcal{T} = \mathbf{dSt}_k$ the ∞ -topos of derived stacks over k in the sense of [To1]. A generating site for \mathcal{T} consists of \mathbf{dAff}_k the ∞ -category of affine derived schemes endowed with the étale topology. The ∞ -category \mathbf{dAff}_k is equivalent to the opposite of the ∞ -category $\mathbf{cdga}^{\leq 0}$, of non-positively graded commutative dg-algebras (over k).

The ∞ -functor

$$\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{T}^{op} \longrightarrow dg - \mathbf{Cat}_{pr}^{\otimes}$$

is defined as the left Kan extension of the ∞ -functor

$$\mathcal{D} : \mathbf{dAff}_k^{op} \longrightarrow dg - \mathbf{Cat}_{pr}^{\otimes}$$

sending an affine derived scheme A to the symmetric monoidal dg-category of cofibrant $N(A)$ -dg-modules (where $N(A)$ is the commutative dg-algebra obtained by normalisation from the simplicial commutative algebra A , see [To2] for details).

For this paragraph we set $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbf{dSt}_k$ to be the class of all derived Artin stacks of the form $[Y/G]$, where Y is a quasi-projective derived scheme of finite presentation and G is a smooth linear algebraic

group over k acting on Y . Any object X in \mathcal{F} has a compactly generated dg-category $\mathcal{D}(X)$. Moreover, the full sub- ∞ -category \mathcal{F} of \mathbf{dSt}_k is stable by finite limits.

Brane cohomology: Let \mathcal{O} be an operad in \mathcal{T} with $\mathcal{O}(0) = \mathcal{O}(1) = *$, and assume that all the properties (1) – (8) needed for corollary 4.3 hold. We start by the observation that, for any $X \in \mathcal{F}$, the Brane operation morphism

$$B : \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \underline{End}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{D}(B_{\mathcal{O}}(X)))$$

is *unital*. In other words, there exists an object $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{D}(B_{\mathcal{O}}(X))$, which is a unit for all the operations

$$\mathcal{D}((B_{\mathcal{O}}(X))^{\hat{\otimes}^n}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}((B_{\mathcal{O}}(X)) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}(n))).$$

This follows easily from the fact that $\mathcal{O}(0) = *$, the object $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{D}((B_{\mathcal{O}}(X))$ being nothing else than the image of $k \in \mathcal{D}(k)$ by the degree 0 operation

$$\mathcal{D}(k) = \mathcal{D}((B_{\mathcal{O}}(X))^{\hat{\otimes}^0}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}((B_{\mathcal{O}}(X)) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}(0))) \simeq \mathcal{D}(B_{\mathcal{O}}(X)).$$

By construction of B , this object is easily described as

$$\mathbf{1} = j_*(\mathcal{O}_X) \in \mathcal{D}(B_{\mathcal{O}}(X)),$$

where $j : X \longrightarrow B_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ is the *constant brane* morphism.

We leave the reader to formalise the existence of the unit $\mathbf{1}$ further and to construct a slight modification of the categorical ∞ -operad $\underline{End}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{D}(B_{\mathcal{O}}(X)))$ in the setting of dg-categories together with a fixed object. Let $\underline{End}_*^{\otimes}(\mathcal{D}(B_{\mathcal{O}}(X)))$ be the this new categorical ∞ -operad over \mathbf{dSt}_k . Its values at n and over an object $y \in \mathcal{T}$ is an ∞ -category naturally equivalent to

$$\underline{End}_*^{\otimes}(\mathcal{D}(B_{\mathcal{O}}(X)))(y, n) \simeq \mathbb{R}\underline{Hom}_c^*(\mathcal{D}(B_{\mathcal{O}}(X))^{\hat{\otimes}^n}, \mathcal{D}(B_{\mathcal{O}}(X)) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}(y)),$$

the ∞ -category of continuous dg-functors sending the object $\otimes^n \mathbf{1}$ to $\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_y$. There is a natural morphism of categorical ∞ -operads over \mathbf{dSt}_k

$$\underline{End}_*^{\otimes}(\mathcal{D}(B_{\mathcal{O}}(X))) \longrightarrow \underline{End}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{D}(B_{\mathcal{O}}(X)))$$

which simply forgets about the object $\mathbf{1}$. By construction the brane operation morphism B factors naturally as

$$B : \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{B_*} \underline{End}_*^{\otimes}(\mathcal{D}(B_{\mathcal{O}}(X))) \longrightarrow \underline{End}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{D}(B_{\mathcal{O}}(X))).$$

Let $HH^{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ be the associative dg-algebra of endomorphism of $\mathbf{1}$

$$HH^{\mathcal{O}}(X) := \underline{End}_{\mathcal{D}(B_{\mathcal{O}}(X))}(\mathbf{1}).$$

This dg-algebra is called the *\mathcal{O} -cohomology of X* .

Operations on \mathcal{O} -cohomology: The corollary 4.3 implies easily that the ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} acts in a natural way on the dg-algebra $HH^{\mathcal{O}}(X)$. This fact can be formalized as follows. For any associative dg-algebra B , we define an ∞ -operad in \mathbf{dSt}_k $\underline{End}^{ass}(B)$, of (associative algebra) endomorphisms of B .

Its values on an integer n and an affine derived scheme $y \in \mathbf{dAff}_k$ is the mapping space of $\mathcal{O}(y)$ -linear associative dg-algebras²

$$\underline{End}^{ass}(B)(y, n) := \text{Map}_{\mathcal{O}(y)\text{-dg-alg}}(B^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{O}(y), B \otimes \mathcal{O}(y)).$$

The ∞ -operad $\underline{End}^{ass}(B)$ is the multiplicative analog of $\underline{End}(B)$, defined similarly but using mapping spaces of $\mathcal{O}(y)$ -dg-modules instead of dg-algebras. There is a forgetful morphism

$$\underline{End}^{ass}(B) \longrightarrow \underline{End}(B).$$

There is an ∞ -category whose objects are complexes E together with a morphism of ∞ -operads

$$\mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \underline{End}(E),$$

called the ∞ -category of \mathcal{O} -algebras. It will be denoted by

$$\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{dga}.$$

In the same way, there is an ∞ -category of associative dg-algebras B together with a morphism of ∞ -operads

$$\mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \underline{End}^{ass}(B),$$

called the ∞ -category of associative algebras in \mathcal{O} -algebras. It is equivalent to the ∞ -category $E_1 \odot \mathcal{O} - \mathbf{dga}$, where \odot is the tensor product of ∞ -operads described in [Ci-Mo1, Ci-Mo2] for instance.

There is a morphism of categorical ∞ -operads in \mathbf{dSt}_k

$$\underline{End}_*^{\otimes}(\mathcal{D}(B_{\mathcal{O}}(X))) \longrightarrow \underline{End}^{ass}(HH^{\mathcal{O}}(X))$$

which consists of sending a dg-functor

$$\mathcal{D}(B_{\mathcal{O}}(X))^{\hat{\otimes} n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(B_{\mathcal{O}}(X)) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}(y)$$

to the induced morphism of dg-algebras of endomorphisms of the object $\mathbf{1}^{\otimes n}$. The brane cohomology $HH^{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ then becomes an associative dg-algebra together with a compatible action of \mathcal{O} : there is an induced morphism of ∞ -operads in \mathbf{dSt}_k

$$\mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \underline{End}^{ass}(HH^{\mathcal{O}}(X)).$$

We will consider $HH^{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ as an object of $E_1 \odot \mathcal{O} - \mathbf{dga}$.

For an equivalence $\alpha : \mathcal{O} \simeq \mathcal{O}'$ of ∞ -operads over \mathbf{dSt}_k , we have an equivalence of $E_1 \odot \mathcal{O}$ -algebras

$$HH^{\mathcal{O}}(X) \simeq \alpha^*(HH^{\mathcal{O}'}(X)),$$

where $\alpha^*(HH^{\mathcal{O}'}(X))$ denotes $HH^{\mathcal{O}'}(X)$ considered as an $E_1 \odot \mathcal{O}$ -algebra via the equivalence $\alpha : E_1 \odot \mathcal{O} \simeq E_1 \odot \mathcal{O}'$.

²This \mathcal{O} is not the same as the ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} but represented functions on derived affine schemes.

E_k -Branes: We now let E_k be the topological E_k operad, so that $E_k(n)$ is the configuration space of n disjoint k -dimensional disks in the standard k -dimensional disk. We can consider E_k as an ∞ -operad in \mathbf{dSt}_k , simply by considering spaces as constant stacks on $Spec k$. We observe here that E_k is an ∞ -operad of configuration type. Indeed, we have to prove that all the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E_k(n+1) \times E_k(m) & \coprod_{E_k(2) \times E_k(n) \times E_k(m)} & E_k(n) \times E_k(m+1) \longrightarrow E_k(n+m) \\
 & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
 & E_k(n) \times E_k(m) & \longrightarrow E_k(n+m-1)
 \end{array}$$

are homotopy cartesian (where the push-out must be understood as a homotopy push-out). For this, we let (c_1, c_2) be a point in $E_k(n) \times E_k(m)$, with image $c \in E_k(n+m-1)$, and we consider the morphism induced on the homotopy fibers at this point. The homotopy fiber of $E_k(n+m) \rightarrow E_k(n+m-1)$ at c is equivalent to the space $\mathbb{B}^k - \{c\}$, the complement of all the interiors of all the disks in the configuration c : it is a generalized pants, a k -dimensional ball with $n+m-1$ smaller k -dimensional balls removed. On the other hand, the homotopy fiber at (c_1, c_2) of the morphism

$$E_k(n+1) \times E_k(m) \coprod_{E_k(2) \times E_k(n) \times E_k(m)} E_k(n) \times E_k(m+1) \longrightarrow E_k(n) \times E_k(m)$$

is the homotopy push-out

$$\mathbb{B}^k - \{c_1\} \coprod_{\mathbb{B}^k - \{c_0\}} \mathbb{B}^k - \{c_2\},$$

where c_0 is unique configuration of a single k -dimensional disk in \mathbb{B}^k . This is homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{B}^k - \{c\}$ by the natural map, as c is the configuration obtained by composing c_1 and c_2 . This finishes the observation that E_k is of configuration type.

It is not hard to check all the properties (1) – (8) of our corollary 4.3. We thus obtain that E_k acts on the dg-category $\mathcal{D}(B_{E_k}(X))$, or in other words that $\mathcal{D}(B_{E_k}(X))$ comes equipped with an E_k -monoidal structure. We note here that $E_k(2)$ is naturally equivalent to S^{k-1} , a $(k-1)$ -dimensional sphere, so that the space of E_k -branes recovers the iterated loop spaces $B_{E_k}(X) \simeq \mathcal{L}^{k-1}X$.

On the level of \mathcal{O} -cohomology we find that

$$HH^{E_k}(X) = \underline{End}_{\mathcal{D}(B_{\mathcal{O}}(X))}(\mathbf{1}) = \underline{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}^{k-1}X)}(\mathcal{O}_X)$$

has natural structure of $E_1 \odot E_k$ -algebra. According to [Lu2] we have a natural equivalence of operads $E_1 \odot E_k \simeq E_{k+1}$, which promotes $HH^{E_k}(X)$ into an E_{k+1} -algebra. This proves the following corollary, recovering the results of [Be-Fr-Na] by different methods.

Corollary 5.1 *Let $k \geq 0$ be an integer, X an object in \mathcal{F} and $\mathcal{L}^{k-1}X = B_{E_k}(X)$ be the space of E_k -branes in X .*

1. *The dg-category $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}^{k-1}X)$ is naturally endowed with an E_k -monoidal structure.*
2. *The E_k -cohomology of X*

$$HH^{E_k}(X) = \underline{End}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}^{k-1}X)}(\mathcal{O}_X)$$

is naturally endowed with an E_{k+1} -algebra structure.

E_k^{uni} -**Branes:** The previous notion of E_k -branes possesses a slight modification, consisting of replacing spheres S^{k-1} by unipotent, or *affine*, spheres $(S^{k-1} \otimes k)^{uni}$ in the sense of [To6]. For this, we remind the notion of *affine stacks*.

Let A be a non-negatively graded commutative dg-algebra. We associate to it a derived stack $Spec A$ defined as the ∞ -functor

$$Spec A : \mathbf{dAff}^{op} = \mathbf{cdga}^{\leq 0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$$

$$B \longrightarrow Map_{\mathbf{cdga}}(A, B).$$

The construction $Spec$ defines an ∞ -functor from $\mathbf{cdga}^{\geq 0}$, of non-negatively graded commutative dg-algebras, to derived stacks. It can be shown that this ∞ -functor is fully faithful when restricted to the objects $A \in \mathbf{cdga}^{\geq 0}$ for which there is an augmentation $A \rightarrow k$ inducing an isomorphism $H^0(A) \simeq k$ (such dg-algebras will be called *reduced*). The essential image of this full embedding will be called the ∞ -category of (*reduced*) *affine stacks*.

There exists an ∞ -functor (denoted by $X \mapsto (X \otimes k)^{uni}$ in [To6])

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{dSt}_k \\ X & \mapsto & Spec(C^*(X, k)) =: X^u, \end{array}$$

sending a space X to the spectrum of its commutative dg-algebra of cochains $C^*(X, k)$ (here we use a model for $C^*(X, k)$ which is a commutative dg-algebra). When restricted to connected spaces, this ∞ -functor factors through the full sub- ∞ -category of affine stacks and is called the *affinization functor*. The Kunneth formula implies that it preserves finite products when restricted to the ∞ -category \mathcal{S}_0^f consisting of connected spaces being furthermore retracts of finite CW complexes (i.e. finitely presented objects in the ∞ -category \mathcal{S}).

Let \mathcal{O} be a topological operad such that each space $\mathcal{O}(n)$ is connected and weakly equivalent to a retract of a finite CW complex. We present \mathcal{O} has an ∞ -functor

$$\Omega^{op} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_0^f$$

satisfying the Segal core conditions. Composing with the ∞ -functor $(-)^u$ we obtain another ∞ -functor

$$\mathcal{O}^u : \Omega^{op} \longrightarrow \mathbf{dSt}_k,$$

which is now an ∞ -operad in the ∞ -topos of derived stacks. By construction we have a universal morphism of ∞ -operads in \mathbf{dSt}_k

$$\mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^u,$$

where \mathcal{O} is considered as a constant ∞ -operad in \mathbf{dSt}_k . The following lemma follows essentially by the universal property of the construction $X \mapsto X^u$ (see [To6]).

Lemma 5.2 *The morphism $\mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^u$ induces an equivalence of ∞ -categories of dg-algebras*

$$\mathcal{O}^u - \mathbf{dga} \simeq \mathcal{O} - \mathbf{dga}.$$

We use this construction to consider E_k^u for $k > 1$, which by definition is the *unipotent little k -disks* ∞ -operads. It is an ∞ -operad of configuration type with respect to the class \mathcal{F} , as this can be checked using that E_k is of configuration type. Moreover, it does satisfy the conditions (1) – (8) of our corollary 4.3. For $X \in \mathcal{F}$, the space of branes with respect to E_k^u is here

$$B_{E_k^u}(X) \simeq \text{Map}(S_u^{k-1}, X) = \mathcal{L}_u^{(k-1)}(X) \subset \mathcal{L}^{(k-1)}(X),$$

where $S_u^{k-1} = (S^{k-1})^u$ denotes the unipotent $(k-1)$ -sphere, and $\mathcal{L}_u^{(k-1)}(X)$ is the *unipotent part* of the iterated loop space $\mathcal{L}^{(k-1)}(X)$. We thus get an E_k^u -action on the dg-category $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}_u^{(k-1)}(X))$.

The E_k^u -cohomology is given by

$$HH^{E_k^u}(X) = \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}_u^{k-1}X)}(\mathcal{O}_X)$$

and is an $E_1 \odot E_k^u$ -algebra. By construction there is an inclusion morphism

$$HH^{E_k^u}(X) \longrightarrow HH^{E_k}(X)$$

corresponding to the inclusion $\mathcal{L}_u^{(k-1)}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{(k-1)}(X)$. Because our assumptions insure that X is a derived Artin 1-stack we can check that this inclusion morphism is a quasi-isomorphism because $k > 1$. We can moreover see that this quasi-isomorphism is compatible with the operations of E_k and E_k^u via the natural morphism $E_k \rightarrow E_k^u$. In fact more is true, this morphism of ∞ -operads induces an equivalence between ∞ -categories of algebras

$$E_1 \odot E_k^u - \mathbf{dga} \simeq E_1 \odot E_k - \mathbf{dga}.$$

Through this equivalence of ∞ -categories the object $HH^{E_k^u}(X)$ is identified with $HH^{E_k}(X)$.

\mathbb{P}_k -Branes: The theory of \mathbb{P}_k -branes is obtained by considering a formal analog of the theory of E_k^u -branes. We consider the ∞ -functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{dSt}_k \\ X & \mapsto & \text{Spec}(H^*(X, k)) =: X^f, \end{array}$$

which provides an ∞ -functor

$$\mathcal{S}_0^f \longrightarrow \mathbf{dSt}_k,$$

on the ∞ -category \mathcal{S}_0^f of connected and homotopically finite spaces. This ∞ -functor commutes with finite products and thus induces an ∞ -functor on ∞ -operads

$$\infty - \text{Op}(\mathcal{S}_0^f) \longrightarrow \infty - \text{Op}(\mathbf{dSt}_k),$$

denotes by $\mathcal{O} \mapsto \mathcal{O}^f$. Applied to the operad E_k for $k > 1$ we find by definition

$$\mathbb{P}_k := E_k^f.$$

The ∞ -operad is a realisation in \mathbf{dSt}_k of the standard Poisson operads classifying Poisson dg-algebras whose brackets is of cohomological degree $1 - k$. The ∞ -category $\mathbb{P}_k - \mathbf{dga}$ can be identified with the

∞ -category of commutative dg-algebras endowed with a Poisson bracket of degree $1 - k$ (these are also called k -algebras in [Ge-Jo]).

It can be checked that \mathbb{P}_k is of configuration type with respect to \mathcal{F} and satisfies the conditions (1) – (8) of corollary 4.3. For $X \in \mathcal{F}$, the space of \mathbb{P}_k -branes is given by the *iterated formal loop stack*

$$B_{\mathbb{P}_k}(X) \simeq \text{Map}(S_f^{k-1}, X) = \mathcal{L}_f^{(k-1)} X,$$

where $S_f^{k-1} = \text{Spec } H^*(S^{k-1})$ is the formal $(k - 1)$ -dimensional sphere. Note that S_f^{k-1} can also be considered as $\text{Spec } k[\epsilon_{k-1}]$, where ϵ_{k-1} is a square zero function of cohomological degree $k - 1$.

We get this way the notion of \mathbb{P}_k -cohomology of X

$$HH^{\mathbb{P}_k}(X) = \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}_f^{k-1} X)}(\mathcal{O}_X),$$

which is an $E_1 \odot \mathbb{P}_k$ -algebra. The HKR theorem implies that as a complex we have

$$HH^{\mathbb{P}_k}(X) \simeq \mathbb{R}\Gamma(X, \text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathbb{T}_X[-k])),$$

where \mathbb{T}_X is the tangent complex of X and the $E_1 \odot \mathbb{P}_k$ -structure reflects the operations encoded by brackets of vector fields on X . The object $HH^{\mathbb{P}_k}(X)$ is a shifted and derived analog of polyvector fields on smooth manifold considered in [Ko].

The formality theorem: We will now prove a version of the higher formality conjecture mentioned in the introduction of [Pa-To-Va-Ve1]. A more detailed argument, as well as investigations of how to weaken our hypothesis on X , should appear in a forthcoming work.

We let X be a derived algebraic stack in \mathcal{F} . Remind that this implies that X is a quotient of a quasi-projective derived scheme by a reductive algebraic group. Let $k > 1$ and consider the two ∞ -operads in \mathbf{dSt}_k already mentioned:

$$E_k^u \quad \mathbb{P}_k = \mathbb{E}_k^f.$$

By the formality of the E_k -operad (see [La-Vo]) we can choose an equivalence of ∞ -operads in \mathbf{dSt}_k

$$\alpha : \mathbb{P}_k \simeq E_k^u.$$

This equivalence provides an equivalence between the ∞ -category of $E_1 \odot E_k^u$ -algebras and the ∞ -category of $E_1 \odot \mathbb{P}_k$ -algebras

$$\alpha^* : E_1 \odot E_k^u - \mathbf{dga} \simeq E_1 \odot \mathbb{P}_k - \mathbf{dga}.$$

As we have seen, there is a natural equivalence of $E_1 \odot \mathbb{P}_k$ -algebras

$$\alpha^*(HH^{E_k^u}(X)) \simeq HH^{\mathbb{P}_k}(X).$$

We have forgetful ∞ -functors to the ∞ -category of dg-Lie algebras

$$\mathbb{P}_k - \mathbf{dga} \longrightarrow \mathbf{dgl} \quad E_k^u - \mathbf{dga} \longrightarrow \mathbf{dgl}$$

which are induced by the standard embedding of operads in chain complexes

$$\text{Lie}_k \hookrightarrow H_*(E_k) \quad \text{Lie}_k \hookrightarrow C_*(E_k).$$

On the level of underlying complexes, these forgetful ∞ -functors send B to $B[k-1]$. The equivalence α automatically preserves these inclusions, and thus the equivalence of ∞ -categories α^* fits into a commutative diagram of ∞ -categories

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E_1 \odot E_k^u - \mathbf{dga} & \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} & E_1 \odot \mathbb{P}_k - \mathbf{dga} \\
 & \searrow & \swarrow \\
 & E_1(\mathbf{dgl}), &
 \end{array}$$

where $E_1(\mathbf{dgl})$ is the ∞ -category of monoïd objects inside the ∞ -category of dg-Lie algebras. Here we consider \mathbf{dgl} as a symmetric monoïdal ∞ -category with the direct product structure as a monoïdal structure: E_1 -monoïds can simply be represented as simplicial objects in \mathbf{dgl} satisfying a Segal type condition. We find this way an equivalence in $E_1(\mathbf{dgl})$

$$HH^{\mathbb{P}_k}(X)[k-1] \simeq HH^{E_k}(X)[k-1].$$

Lemma 5.3 *The classifying space ∞ -functor*

$$B : E_1(\mathbf{dgl}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{dgl}$$

is an equivalence of ∞ -categories.

Proof of the lemma: For any pointed and presentable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} , the ∞ -category $E_1(\mathcal{C})$ is the full sub- ∞ -category of $s\mathcal{C} := Fun^\infty(\Delta^{op}, \mathcal{C})$ consisting of simplicial objects M_* such that for all n the Segal maps induce an equivalence

$$M_n \simeq M_1^n.$$

We have an adjunction

$$B : E_1(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} : \Omega,$$

where B is the colimit over Δ and Ω sends an object X to its loop space $\Omega(X) = * \times_X *$. When \mathcal{C} is stable this adjunction is automatically an equivalence of ∞ -categories. This implies that the same is true for $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{dgl}$, as this can be seen using the forgetful ∞ -functor from dg-Lie algebras to complexes, which is conservative and commutes with the construction B and Ω (because B is a sifted colimit ∞ -functor). \square

The lemma implies that the both complexes

$$HH^{\mathbb{P}_k}(X)[k] \quad HH^{E_k}(X)[k]$$

are endowed with natural dg-Lie algebra structures, and the equivalence α induces an equivalence of dg-Lie algebras

$$HH^{\mathbb{P}_k}(X)[k] \simeq HH^{E_k}(X)[k].$$

To finish, by construction $HH^{E_k}(X)[k]$ is the shifted iterated Hochschild cohomology of X endowed with its dg-Lie algebra structure induced by the E_{k+1} -algebra structure on $HH^{E_k}(X)$. On the other hand $HH^{\mathbb{P}_k}(X)[k]$ is the complex of shifted polyvectors

$$HH^{\mathbb{P}_k}(X)[k] \simeq \mathbb{R}\Gamma(X, Sym_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathbb{T}_X[-k]))[k],$$

endowed with the dg-Lie algebra structure induced by a version of the Schouten bracket on polyvector fields.

Corollary 5.4 *Let $X \in \mathcal{F}$ be a derived algebraic stack and $k > 1$. A choice of an equivalence of ∞ -operads*

$$\alpha : \mathbb{P}_k \simeq E_k^u$$

induces an canonical equivalence of dg-Lie algebras

$$\mathbb{R}\Gamma(X, \text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathbb{T}_X[-k]))[k] \simeq HH^{E_k}(X)[k].$$

The case $k = 2$ and X a smooth variety, and the known relations between HH^{E_2} and the deformation theory of monoidal categories (see [Fr]), answers positively the conjecture of Kapustin [Ka, p. 14].

References

- [Be-Fr-Na] D. Ben-Zvi, J. Francis, D. Nadler, *Integral transforms and Drinfeld centers in derived algebraic geometry*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), no. 4, 909966.
- [Ci-Mo1] D.C. Cisinski, I. Moerdijk, *Dendroidal sets as models for homotopy operads*. J. Topol. **4** (2011), no. 2, 257299.
- [Ci-Mo2] D.C. Cisinski, I. Moerdijk, *Dendroidal sets and simplicial operads*. arXiv:1109.1004.
- [Fr] J. Francis, *The tangent complex and Hochschild cohomology of E_n -rings*. To appear in *Compositio*, available as arXiv:1104.0181.
- [Fr-Ho-Lu-Te] D. Freed, M. Hopkins, J. Lurie, C. Teleman, *Topological quantum field theories from compact Lie groups*. A celebration of the mathematical legacy of Raoul Bott, 367403, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes **50**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.
- [Ge-Jo] E. Getzler, J. Jones, *Operads, homotopy algebra and iterated integrals for double loop spaces*, hep-th/9403055.
- [Hi-Si] A. Hirschowitz, C. Simpson *Descente pour les n -champs*. arXiv math/9807049.
- [Ka] A. Kapustin, *Topological field theory, higher categories, and their applications*. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians. Volume III, 20212043, Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2010.
- [Ko] M. Kontsevich, *Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds*. Lett. Math. Phys. **66** (2003), no. 3, 157216.
- [La-Vo] P. Lambrechts, I. Volic, *Formality of the little N -disks operad*. arXiv 0808.0457
- [Lu1] J. Lurie, *Higher Topos, Higher topos theory*. Annals of Mathematics Studies **170**. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009. xviii+925 pp.
- [Lu2] J. Lurie, *Higher Algebra*, available at <http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/>
- [Ma] Yu. Manin, *Quantum cohomology, motives, derived categories I,II*, IHP, January 2013, lecture notes available at <http://www.math.jussieu.fr/~yuy/rega/pdf/1213/16012013a.pdf>

- [Mo-We] I. Moerdijk and I. Weiss, *Dendroidal sets*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **7** (2007) 1441-1470.
- [Mor] S. Moriya, *Rational Homotopy Theory and Differential Graded Category*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 214, no.4, (2010) 422-439.
- [Pa-To-Va-Ve1] T. Pantev, B. Toën, M. Vaquié, G. Vezzosi, *Shifted symplectic structures*. To appear in *Publ. Math. IHES*.
- [Pa-To-Va-Ve2] T. Pantev, B. Toën, M. Vaquié, G. Vezzosi, *Shifted Poisson structures*. in preparation.
- [Ro] M. Robalo, *Non-commutative motives I,II*, preprint arXiv arXiv:1206.3645, arXiv:1306.3795.
- [Si] C. Simpson, *Homotopy theory of higher categories*. *New Mathematical Monographs*, **19**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012. xviii+634 pp.
- [To1] B. Toën, *Higher and Derived Stacks*, Algebraic geometry Seattle 2005. Part 1, 435-487, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, **80**, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
- [To2] B. Toën, *Derived Azumaya Algebras and generators for twisted derived categories*, *Invent. Math.* **189** (2012), no. 3, 581-652.
- [To3] B. Toën, *Vers une axiomatisation de la théorie des catégories supérieures*. *K-Theory* **34** (2005), no. 3, 233-263.
- [To4] B. Toën, *Lectures on dg-categories*, *Topics in algebraic and topological K-theory*, 243-302, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **2008**, Springer, Berlin, 2011.
- [To5] B. Toën, *The homotopy theory of dg-categories and derived Morita theory*, *Invent. Math.* **167** (2007), no. 3, 615-667.
- [To6] B. Toën, *Champs affines*, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **12** (2006), no. 1, 39-135.
- [To-Ve1] B. Toën, G. Vezzosi, *Homotopical algebraic geometry I: Topos theory*. *Advances in Mathematics*, **193**, Issue 2 (2005), p. 257-372.
- [To-Ve2] B. Toën, G. Vezzosi, *Homotopical algebraic geometry II: Geometric stacks and applications*. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **193** (2008), no. 902, x+224 pp.
- [To-Ve3] B. Toën, G. Vezzosi, *Caractères de Chern, traces équivariantes et géométrie algébrique dérivée*. arXiv:0903.3292.